

CLAREMONT CITY COUNCIL

SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

City Council Chamber
225 Second Street
Claremont, CA 91711



Monday
February 04, 2019
6:30 PM

COUNCILMEMBERS

COREY CALAYCAY
MAYOR

LARRY SCHROEDER

ED REECE

JED LEANO

JENNIFER STARK

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of the City Council of the City of Claremont, California, as called by the City Council of the City of Claremont, will be held on the 4th day of February, 2019, at 6:30 p.m., at the above location for the purpose of considering the public hearing item listed below. The Brown Act provides for an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council concerning the public hearing item described below. Each speaker will be limited to four (4) continuous minutes once the public hearing is opened.

CALL TO ORDER THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMENT

The Council has set aside this time for persons in the audience who wish to comment on items that ARE NOT LISTED ON THIS AGENDA, but are within the jurisdiction of the City Council. Members of the audience will later have the opportunity to address the City Council regarding THE PUBLIC HEARING ITEM at the time the Council considers that item.

At this time the Council will take public comment for 30 minutes. Public Comment will resume later in the meeting if there are speakers who did not get an opportunity to speak because of the 30-minute time limit.

The Brown Act prohibits the City Council from taking action on oral requests relating to items that are not on the agenda. The Council may engage in a brief discussion, refer the matter to staff, and/or schedule requests for consideration at a subsequent meeting.

The Council requests, but does not require, speakers to identify themselves. When you come up to speak, please state your name unless you wish to remain anonymous. Each speaker will be allowed four (4) continuous minutes.

PUBLIC HEARING

PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND DISCUSS POTENTIAL TRANSITION FROM AT-LARGE TO DISTRICT ELECTIONS, PURSUANT TO ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 10010(A)(2)

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the City Council:

- A. Consider, discuss, and receive public comment regarding the content of the draft voting district maps and the potential sequence of elections; and
- B. Eliminate any maps that the City Council no longer wishes to consider for adoption.

Attachment(s):

City Council Resolution 2018-67
Table of Results of CVRA Litigation
Draft Voting District Maps
Map Submitter and Public Comments on Maps

ADJOURNMENT

THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING OF THE CLAREMONT CITY COUNCIL WILL BE HELD ON, FEBRUARY 12, 2019, AT 6:30 P.M. IN THE CLAREMONT CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER, 225 SECOND STREET.

MATERIALS RELATED TO AN ITEM ON THIS AGENDA, AND SUBMITTED TO THE CITY COUNCIL AFTER PUBLICATION OF THE AGENDA, ARE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC IN THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE AT 207 HARVARD AVENUE, CLAREMONT, MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY, 7 AM – 6 PM. SUBJECT MATERIALS WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ON THE CITY WEBSITE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE - www.ci.claremont.ca.us. For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 909-399-5461.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990, THIS AGENDA WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE IN APPROPRIATE ALTERNATIVE FORMATS TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. ANY PERSON WITH A DISABILITY WHO REQUIRES A MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN A CITY MEETING SHOULD CONTACT THE CITY CLERK AT 909-399-5461 "VOICE" OR 1-800-735-2929 "TT/TTY" AT LEAST THREE (3) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE MEETING, IF POSSIBLE.

I, SHELLEY DESAUTELS, CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF CLAREMONT, CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING AGENDA WAS POSTED AT CLAREMONT CITY HALL, 207 HARVARD AVENUE, ON JANUARY 31, 2019, PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.

POST THROUGH: FEBRUARY 5, 2019



Claremont City Council

Agenda Report

File #: 2740

Item No: N/A

TO: CITY COUNCIL
FROM: JOSEPH LARSEN, ACTING CITY ATTORNEY
DATE: FEBRUARY 4, 2019

Reviewed by:
City Manager: TS
Finance Director: AP

SUBJECT:

PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND DISCUSS POTENTIAL TRANSITION FROM AT-LARGE TO DISTRICT ELECTIONS, PURSUANT TO ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 10010(A)(2)

SUMMARY

At its November 27, 2018 meeting, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2018-67 (Attachment A) expressing its intention to move from its current at-large method of election for City Councilmembers to a by-district system, pursuant to Government Code Section 34886 and Elections Code Section 10010. This February 4, 2019 hearing is the third public hearing that must be held before an ordinance approving and implementing a by-district method of election can be adopted, and the first of two where the City Council will consider draft maps of voting districts.

The transition to district elections - which has become the trend in many cities throughout California - is to ensure all voters have equal representation, greater access, and that the City's elections are as fair as possible. Further, in recent years, voter rights advocates have successfully forced cities into districting by threatening or bringing challenges under the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA)(Elec. Code §§ 14025-14032). No City has ever successfully defended itself from a CVRA lawsuit, with costs to cities in the millions of dollars in legal fees, only to result in an eventual transition to district elections anyway. Provided as an attachment for reference is a table showing the results of CVRA litigation (Attachment B). By voluntarily initiating the process of districting now, the City can avoid costly legal fees.

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 10010, two public hearings must be held before any draft maps are drawn and presented to the City Council. Those hearings were held on January 8 and 17, 2019.

Following the January 8, 2019 City Council hearing, the City launched its online redistricting tool, a web-based tool for drawing voting districts that is accessible to the public. Paper maps have also

been provided to the public, both at City Hall and in printable PDF format on the City's website (<https://www.ci.claremont.ca.us/government/district-election-transition/district-mapping>). The City Council will be presented with all draft maps submitted by the public on or before January 22, 2019, which were publicly posted on the City's website seven days prior to this hearing at (<https://www.ci.claremont.ca.us/government/district-election-transition/draft-district-maps>).

Any draft maps submitted by the public after that time, but prior to this February 4, 2019 meeting, will be presented to the City Council at its February 12, 2019 hearing. Any maps submitted following the City Council's February 4, 2019 meeting cannot be considered at its February 12, 2019, pursuant to Elections Code Section 10010(a)(2).

The purpose of this hearing is for the City Council to consider, discuss and receive public comment regarding draft district maps submitted by the public and drawn by the City's demographer, National Demographics Corporation ("NDC"), as well as the potential sequencing of elections during the transition to districts (Attachment C and D).

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council:

- A. Consider, discuss, and receive public comment regarding the content of the draft voting district maps and the potential sequence of elections; and
- B. Eliminate any maps that the City Council no longer wishes to consider for adoption.

FINANCIAL REVIEW

The estimated cost of the transition to district elections, which consists of hiring a demographer (NDC) and additional City Attorney time, will be approximately \$75,000, including the four additional public workshops, which would be attended by the City's demographer, as proposed herein. The City Council appropriated these funds at its November 27, 2018 and January 8, 2019 hearings.

The ultimate cost of attempting to retain the City's current at-large method of election could potentially be in the millions of dollars and have a significant impact on the City's General Fund reserve balance.

ANALYSIS

Background

The CVRA was enacted in 2002 with the specific intent of eliminating several key burden of proof requirements that exist under the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (FVRA). Before the enactment of the CVRA, several jurisdictions in California successfully defended themselves in litigation brought under the FVRA. By contrast, over the relatively short history of the CVRA, and only after an initial constitutional challenge was resolved in 2006, public agencies have paid over \$16 million to CVRA plaintiff attorneys.

The City of Modesto, which challenged the CVRA's constitutionality, ultimately paid \$3 million to the plaintiffs' attorneys. The City of Palmdale, which also aggressively litigated a CVRA claim, ultimately paid \$4.5 million in attorneys' fees. More recently, in 2018, the City of Santa Clara lost a CVRA trial, and the plaintiffs are seeking over \$4 million in attorneys' fees. The City of Santa Monica also lost a CVRA trial in 2018, with its yet to be determined costs - sure to be in the millions. More importantly,

these figures do not include the tens of millions of dollars government agency defendants have paid their own attorneys and associated defense costs. Also important to note is that these cities - like all other CVRA defendants - ultimately ended up converting to district elections.

The City's Process for Adopting By-District Elections

The City's transition to by-district elections is not based on any admission or concession that the City would ultimately be found to have violated the CVRA; rather, the risks and costs associated with protracted CVRA litigation - particularly in light of results in all other cities that have fought to retain at-large voting - cannot be ignored. The public interest may be ultimately better served if the City converts to a by-district electoral system if converting to that system avoids a significant attorneys' fees and cost award, as well as significant sums paid to the City's own attorneys and consultants. Further, district elections are becoming the trend in California, because some experts believe district elections increase public access and result in fairer elections.

As required by Elections Code Section 10010, Resolution No. 2018-67 also set forth a tentative schedule for the required public hearings. The first two hearings, held on January 8 and 17, 2019, were required to be conducted for the purpose of receiving public comment regarding the composition of the yet to be formed voting districts before any draft maps are considered by the City Council. At these hearings, both the public and the City Council provided NDC with direction regarding the composition of the yet to be drafted voting district maps, which NDC incorporated into the draft maps presented to the City Council at this February 4, 2019 hearing, along with the maps timely submitted by the public. In particular, at its January 17, 2019 hearing, the City Council decided to only consider maps with five councilmember districts with a rotating mayor, pursuant to Government Code section 34871(a).

A total of 31 maps were submitted by the public, but nine were duplicates, leaving 22 original maps. Ten of these maps meet the minimum requirements for adoption. The 12 maps that do not include one map that only has four districts, three that are not contiguous, 7 that are not population balanced, and one that is neither population balanced nor contiguous. An additional three maps were drawn by NDC, leaving 13 complete draft voting district maps for the City Council to consider. (Attachment C). In addition to the maps, comments were submitted by community members related to the district boundaries and sequencing (Attachment D).

Following input from the City Council and the public at this February 4, 2019 hearing, all maps received after January 22, 2019 (and any revised maps pursuant to comments received from the public and/or City Council) will be publicly posted by February 5, 2019 for consideration on February 12, 2019. Maps received after February 4, 2019 cannot be considered by the City Council at its February 12, 2019 hearing, pursuant to state law. In addition to discussing the maps and considering input from the public, it is also recommended that the City Council discuss and consider potential sequences of elections, and eliminate any draft maps that it no longer wishes to consider.

Following this February 4, 2019 hearing, and while not required by law, the City will hold two public workshops on Saturday, February 9, 2019. The first will be at 11:00 am (at the Youth Activity Center, 1717 N. Indian Hill Blvd.), and the second will be at 2:00 pm (at Claremont Place Senior Living, 120 W. San Jose Ave.) to allow the public to provide additional input on the draft maps and districting process. On February 12, 2019, the City Council will hold its second public hearing concerning the draft voting district maps and sequence of elections, and at that same City Council meeting, staff recommends that the City Council introduce an ordinance for first reading adopting a by-district method of election, a voting district map, and an election sequence.

Transition to Districts

If the City Council ultimately adopts district elections, that change would be implemented in two phases. Specifically, two district seats would be placed on the ballot in November 2020, and the remaining three seats would be placed on the ballot in November 2022. The City Council would determine which district seats are placed on the ballot in each year. Regardless of which district seats are selected for each year, the Government Code prohibits cutting short any existing terms. In other words, all City Council members elected in 2018 are entitled to continue to serve “at large” until 2022.

RELATIONSHIP TO CITY PLANNING DOCUMENTS

Staff has evaluated the agenda item in relationship to the City’s strategic and visioning documents and finds the following:

Council Priorities - This item does not relate to the Council Priorities.

Sustainability Plan - This item does not relate to the Sustainability Plan.

Economic Sustainability Plan - This item does relate to the Economic Sustainability Plan.

General Plan - This item does relate to the General Plan.

2018-19 Budget - This is an unbudgeted item, and therefore does not relate to the 2018-19 Budget.

Youth and Family Master Plan - This item does not relate to the Youth and Family Master Plan.

CEQA REVIEW

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), this matter is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects that have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment in accordance with Section 15061(b)(3) of the Guidelines. The proposed action, beginning the transition from at-large to by-district elections, does not result in a physical change to the environment that can be associated with the action. Therefore, CEQA does not apply, and no environmental review is needed.

PUBLIC NOTICE PROCESS

This item has been noticed through the regular agenda notification process. Copies are available at the City Hall public counter, the Youth Activity Center, the Alexander Hughes Community Center, and on the City website.

Submitted by:

Joseph Larsen
Rutan and Tucker

Reviewed by:

Tara Schultz
City Manager

Attachments:

A - City Council Resolution 2018-67

B - Table of Results of CVRA Litigation

C - Draft Voting District Maps

D - Map Submitter and Public Comments on Maps

RESOLUTION NO. 2018-67

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CLAREMONT, CALIFORNIA, EXPRESSING THE CITY COUNCIL'S INTENTION, PURSUANT TO ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 10010(e)(3)(A), TO INITIATE PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING AND IMPLEMENTING BY-DISTRICT ELECTIONS FOR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

WHEREAS, the City of Claremont, California ("City") is a general law city, duly organized under the constitution and laws of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Claremont City Council are currently elected in at-large elections, in which each City Council member is elected by all registered voters of the entire City; and

WHEREAS, Section 34886 of the Government Code authorizes any city to change to a by-district system or by-district system with an elective mayor without the need to put such a change to voters; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is in the best interest of the City to move from its current at-large electoral system to a by-district election for members of the City Council, in response to the provisions of the California Voting Rights Act; and

WHEREAS, the City intends to make this transition from an at-large system to a by-district system in accordance with the procedural rules outlined in Government Code Section 34886 and Elections Code 10010; and

WHEREAS, the City will begin by working with an experienced demographer to assist the City in establishing maps for a by-district electoral system; and

WHEREAS, before drawing a draft map of the proposed boundaries of the districts, the City will hold at least two (2) public hearings over no more than thirty (30) days, at which time the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of the districts; and

WHEREAS, the City will then publish and make available for release at least one (1) draft map of the new electoral districts, including the potential sequence of elections shown; and

WHEREAS, once the draft map(s) have been publicized for at least seven (7) days, the City will hold at least two (2) additional public hearings, over no more than forty-five (45) days, at which time the public is invited to provide input regarding the content of the draft map and the proposed sequence of elections prior to the public hearing at which the City Council adopts a map; and

WHEREAS, if a draft map is revised at or following a public hearing, the revised map will be published and made available to the public at least seven (7) days before the City chooses to adopt it; and

WHEREAS, in determining the final sequence of staggered district elections, the City Council will give special consideration to the purposes of the CVRA, and will take in to account the preferences expressed by the members of the districts; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Claremont, California as follows:

SECTION 1. The above recitals are true and correct and are incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. The City Council hereby resolves, pursuant to Elections Code section 10010, to adopt a by-district election system by ordinance as authorized by California Government Code section 34886, for use in the City's General Municipal Election for City Council Members.

SECTION 3. The City Council further resolves to retain a qualified demographer, hold at least five (5) public hearings and publish at least one (1) draft map and staggering sequence, pursuant to the tentative, proposed hearing schedule attached hereto as Exhibit "1".

SECTION 4. The city's redistricting/demographic consulting firm, acting under the supervision of the City Manager, is hereby authorized to direct and formulate one or more electoral district scenarios for review by the public and City Council at two or more public hearings if necessary, in accordance with the City's proposed timeline.


SECTION 5. Working with the demographic consulting firm, staff is directed to publicize relevant maps, information, notices, agendas and other materials regarding by-district elections and to establish means of communication to answer questions from the public.

SECTION 6. All public hearings shall be noticed on the City's website, and in addition, as follows: posting on the City's website at least ten (10) calendar days in advance of the hearing and publication at least ten (10) days in advance of the hearing in the newspaper adjudicated to provide notice within the City.


SECTION 7. The City Manager is authorized to take any and all other necessary actions to give effect to this Resolution.

SECTION 8. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED on this 27th day of November 2018.



Mayor, City of Claremont

ATTEST:



City Clerk, City of Claremont

APPROVED AS TO FORM:



City Attorney, City of Claremont

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)ss.
CITY OF CLAREMONT)

I, Shelley Desautels, City Clerk of the City of Claremont, County of Los Angeles, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution No. 2018-67 was regularly adopted by the City Council of said City of Claremont at a regular meeting of said Council held on the 27th day of November, 2018, by the following vote:

AYES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	CALAYCAY, LYONS, NASIALI, SCHROEDER
NOES:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	NONE
ABSTENSIONS:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	NONE
ABSENT:	COUNCILMEMBERS:	PEDROZA



City Clerk of the City of Claremont

Exhibit "1"

District Election Timeline

November 27, 2018	Public Meeting City Council adopts resolution setting forth intention and timeline for adopting by-district elections.
January 8, 2019	Public Hearing No. 1 Overview of the process and city demographics. Gather input from public to be used in establishing options for district boundaries. Adopt resolution setting forth mandatory and permissive districting criteria.
January 17, 2019	Public Hearing No. 2 Second hearing to gather input from public to be used in establishing options for district boundaries.
Minimum 7 days before third public hearing	Draft maps available for public review.
February 4, 2019	Public Hearing No. 3 Public hearing to discuss and take public comment on draft maps and proposed sequence of elections.
February 12, 2019	Public Hearing No. 4 Second public hearing to discuss and take public comment on draft maps and proposed sequence of elections. Public Hearing No. 5 Introduce ordinance for first reading establishing by-district elections, district boundaries and transition plan/sequence of elections.
February 26, 2019	Public Meeting Second reading and adoption of ordinance establishing by-district elections, district maps and transition plan/sequence of elections.

<u>City/Political Subdivision Defendant</u>	<u>Settlement Conditions</u>	<u>Attorneys' Fees</u>	<u>Notes</u>
City of Palmdale	Agreed to have voters choose elected officials by districts, including two with Latino majorities	\$4,500,000	City lost trial on the merits, held an election that plaintiffs argued was illegal, and unsuccessfully challenged an injunction stopping the City from certifying the results of that election; settlement subsequently reached
City of Modesto	Moved to District elections; voters had already approved a move to districts before settlement	\$3,000,000	Settlement; Additional \$1,700,000 to defense attorneys
Madera Unified School District; Madera County Board of Education	Moved to "by trustee area" elections via admission of liability	\$162,500	court award
City of Compton	Moved to by-district elections via ballot measure; kept mayor at large	confidential	settlement
Tulare Local Healthcare District	Agreed to hold an election re changing to district elections in 2012 and agreed to cancel 2010 elections	\$500,000	Settlement
City of Tulare	City agreed to place a ballot measure before voters regarding a move to district elections	\$225,000	Settlement
Hanford Unified School District	Agreed to move to by-trustee district elections	\$110,000	Settlement
Compton Community College District	Agreed to move to by-district elections	\$40,000	Settlement
Ceres Unified School District	Moved to by-trustee district elections before litigation was filed	\$3,000	Settlement
Cerritos Community College District	Moved to by-trustee district elections	\$55,000	Settlement

San Mateo County	County moved to by-District elections (through a ballot measure) and further agreed to redraw its previously-approved District boundaries by forming a nine-person redistricting committee	\$650,000	Settlement
City of Anaheim	Agreed to place ballot measure on November 2016 ballot re moving to by district elections	\$1,200,000	Settlement after first litigating; expected costs include at least another \$800,000
City of Highland	Placed issue on ballot, which was rejected by the voters; districts ultimately ordered by the Court, who chose Plaintiff's map	\$1,300,000	
City of Whittier	Case dismissed as moot when City changed voting system; unsuccessful post election challenge re at large mayor	\$1,000,000	Court awarded fees under catalyst theory, even though case was dismissed
Santa Clarita Community College District	Moved to by trustee voting	\$850,000	Settlement
City of Garden Grove	Moved to by district elections via stipulated judgment	\$290,000	Settlement
City of Escondido	Settled via court order (consent decree) after vote of the people failed to adopt by district elections	\$385,000	Settlement
City of Santa Clarita	Attempted move to cumulative voting method, court overruled	\$600,000	Settlement
City of Visalia	Stipulated judgment, court ordered by districts	\$125,000	Settlement
City of Santa Barbara	Agreed to move to by district; mayor remains elected at large	\$599,500	Settlement

City of Fullerton	Agreed to pay attorneys fees - negotiate in good faith; required placing measure on November 2016 ballot to move to districts	undisclosed	Settlement
City of Merced	Settled before lawsuit filed; agreed to ballot measure	\$43,000	Settlement
City of Bellflower	Agreed to place ballot measure on November 2016 ballot; measure adopted	\$250,000	Settlement
Sulphur Springs School District	Agreed to move to by district elections	\$144,000	Settlement
City of Costa Mesa	Moved to districts before lawsuit was filed	\$55,000	pre-litigation settlement
City of West Covina	Waited until after lawsuit was filed to hire demographer and voluntarily move to by district elections via ordinance	\$220,000	Settlement
Newport Mesa School District	Settled, moved to by trustee elections	\$106,000	Settlement
City of Rancho Cucamonga	Settled after litigation and voter approved move to by district elections	not yet determined; likely high six figures to millions	settlement
City of Santa Clara	Lost at trial court	not yet determined; millions	ongoing
City of Santa Monica	Lost at trial court	not yet determined; millions	ongoing
City of San Marcos	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Carlsbad	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Poway	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Duarte	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed

City of Lake Forest	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Torrance	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Encinitas	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Solana Beach	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Dana Point	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
City of Twentynine Palms	Moved to districts within safe harbor, before lawsuit could be filed	\$0 (does not include \$30,000 capped reimbursement)	transitioned to districts before lawsuit could be filed
TOTAL PAYMENTS TO PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEYS			\$16,413,000

City of Claremont

Draft District Maps


Thirty one maps were submitted by the public, nine were duplicates (and thus not processed). Of the remaining 22, one was a four-districts map, three are not contiguous, seven are not population balanced, and another is neither population balanced nor contiguous.

In addition, NDC drew three maps scenarios. In total, there are 13 population-balanced, contiguous maps on the table for consideration.

Draft Map Summary	
For Consideration	
10	Balanced & Contiguous
3	NDC Created
Not For Consideration	
7	Not Population Balanced
3	Not Contiguous
1	Not balanced nor contiguous
1	4 Districts
Duplicates	
5	Map 114
1	Map 114
1	Map 117
2	Map 120

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 101




National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 101

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	7,063	6,873	6,918	6,862	7,210	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	78	-112	-67	-123	225	348
	% Deviation	1.12%	-1.60%	-0.96%	-1.76%	3.22%	4.98%
Total Pop	% Hisp	17%	16%	22%	25%	19%	20%
	% NH White	63%	57%	57%	57%	59%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	4%	6%	7%	4%	5%
	% Asian-American	14%	21%	14%	9%	16%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,436	5,063	5,350	5,295	5,680	26,824
	% Hisp	14%	21%	21%	26%	21%	21%
	% NH White	69%	57%	57%	54%	58%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	3%	7%	8%	4%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	13%	19%	13%	11%	15%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,582	4,075	4,946	4,379	3,329	22,311
	% Latino est.	15%	14%	17%	23%	21%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	12%	16%	21%	19%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	12%	5%	4%	7%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	68%	68%	69%	60%	67%	66%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	7%	3%	6%	8%	3%	6%
	Total	4,252	3,046	3,904	3,316	2,416	16,934
	% Latino est.	15%	14%	17%	23%	21%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	13%	15%	20%	19%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	11%	5%	4%	6%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	69%	70%	61%	68%	68%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH Black	5%	3%	6%	8%	3%	5%
	Total	2,704	1,985	2,404	2,165	1,461	10,719
	% Latino est.	10%	12%	14%	12%	17%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	11%	13%	11%	15%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	10%	4%	3%	6%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	79%	72%	78%	75%	73%	76%
% NH Black est.	3%	6%	4%	8%	3%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,304	6,780	7,235	7,300	7,146	35,765
Age	age0-19	25%	29%	22%	25%	34%	27%
	age20-60	47%	44%	49%	52%	49%	48%
	age60plus	28%	27%	29%	23%	17%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	19%	22%	18%	20%	16%	19%
	naturalized	74%	68%	71%	66%	54%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	72%	70%	72%	67%	68%	70%
	spanish	11%	11%	14%	18%	14%	14%
	asian-lang	10%	12%	9%	10%	11%	10%
	other lang	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	8%	10%	7%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	35%	33%	42%	37%	38%	37%
	bachelor	25%	25%	23%	22%	24%	24%
	graduatedegree	35%	38%	27%	30%	31%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	28%	24%	28%	28%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	52%	57%	57%	50%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	10%	9%	17%	15%	14%	13%
	income 25-50k	14%	12%	20%	22%	16%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	9%	12%	17%	14%	13%
	income 75-200k	44%	46%	40%	36%	44%	42%
	income 200k-plus	19%	24%	11%	10%	12%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	86%	92%	73%	62%	84%	77%
	multi-family	14%	8%	27%	38%	16%	23%
	rented	26%	18%	40%	54%	31%	36%
	owned	74%	82%	60%	46%	69%	64%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.							
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.							

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 102



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 102

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	7,008	6,704	6,933	6,984	7,297	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	23	-281	-52	-1	312	593	
	% Deviation	0.33%	-4.02%	-0.74%	-0.01%	4.47%	8.49%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	18%	20%	26%	19%	20%	
	% NH White	57%	65%	62%	52%	59%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	7%	5%	5%	
	% Asian-American	22%	11%	12%	13%	16%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,223	5,152	5,559	5,215	5,675	26,824	
	% Hisp	20%	18%	20%	25%	20%	21%	
	% NH White	57%	67%	65%	48%	57%	59%	
	% NH Black	3%	2%	4%	11%	5%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	20%	12%	10%	13%	16%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,192	5,257	4,864	4,523	3,475	22,311	
	% Latino est.	14%	16%	18%	21%	22%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	15%	16%	19%	19%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	12%	7%	6%	5%	6%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	68%	71%	68%	63%	59%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,180	4,196	3,839	3,417	2,301	16,934	
	% Latino est.	14%	16%	17%	20%	23%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	15%	15%	18%	21%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	69%	72%	70%	64%	62%	68%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,077	2,780	2,462	2,125	1,274	10,719	
	% Latino est.	11%	12%	11%	13%	17%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	11%	10%	12%	15%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	72%	78%	78%	76%	72%	76%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	6,944	6,885	7,379	7,326	7,231	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	28%	22%	24%	25%	36%	27%
		age20-60	44%	46%	49%	52%	50%	48%
age60plus		28%	31%	27%	23%	15%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	22%	18%	17%	22%	16%	19%	
	naturalized	69%	76%	73%	65%	48%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	71%	74%	73%	65%	68%	70%	
	spanish	11%	12%	13%	18%	15%	14%	
	asian-lang	12%	9%	8%	12%	11%	10%	
	other lang	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	7%	7%	10%	7%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	33%	42%	36%	35%	40%	37%	
	bachelor	25%	24%	25%	22%	23%	24%	
	graduatedegree	38%	27%	33%	32%	29%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	24%	27%	28%	27%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	53%	57%	56%	58%	49%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	9%	15%	13%	13%	17%	13%	
	income 25-50k	12%	15%	17%	22%	19%	17%	
	income 50-75k	9%	11%	14%	16%	16%	13%	
	income 75-200k	46%	43%	42%	37%	41%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	24%	17%	13%	12%	7%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	93%	88%	78%	59%	75%	77%	
	multi-family	7%	12%	22%	41%	25%	23%	
	rented	16%	22%	37%	56%	46%	36%	
	owned	84%	78%	63%	44%	54%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 104



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 104

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	7,250	6,964	6,889	6,830	6,993	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	265	-21	-96	-155	8	420	
	% Deviation	3.79%	-0.30%	-1.37%	-2.22%	0.11%	6.01%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	19%	15%	16%	13%	35%	20%	
	% NH White	62%	56%	68%	65%	43%	59%	
	% NH Black	5%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%	
	% Asian-American	13%	23%	11%	16%	11%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,488	5,372	5,573	5,194	5,197	26,824	
	% Hisp	18%	17%	18%	19%	32%	21%	
	% NH White	65%	61%	60%	58%	50%	59%	
	% NH Black	3%	2%	9%	5%	6%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	12%	20%	12%	16%	11%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,378	4,887	4,883	2,984	4,179	22,311	
	% Latino est.	17%	13%	14%	12%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	15%	12%	13%	11%	29%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	12%	5%	6%	4%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	71%	70%	71%	61%	55%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	3%	2%	7%	12%	7%	6%	
	Total	4,285	3,816	3,834	1,942	3,057	16,934	
	% Latino est.	17%	14%	13%	12%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	16%	12%	12%	11%	28%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	11%	5%	5%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	72%	71%	72%	64%	56%	68%	
	% NH Black	3%	3%	7%	8%	7%	5%	
	Total	2,803	2,556	2,851	942	1,567	10,719	
	% Latino est.	12%	12%	9%	10%	22%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	11%	8%	9%	19%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	10%	3%	4%	5%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	76%	74%	85%	77%	61%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	4%	3%	3%	5%	12%	5%	
	Total	7,398	7,071	7,237	6,410	7,650	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	23%	25%	22%	39%	28%	27%
		age20-60	46%	45%	49%	49%	53%	48%
age60plus		32%	30%	29%	11%	19%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	19%	22%	20%	18%	17%	19%	
	naturalized	76%	76%	73%	43%	58%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	74%	72%	73%	66%	65%	70%	
	spanish	12%	10%	12%	14%	21%	14%	
	asian-lang	9%	11%	9%	13%	9%	10%	
	other lang	5%	7%	6%	7%	5%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	8%	6%	6%	12%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	41%	34%	31%	33%	45%	37%	
	bachelor	24%	26%	26%	24%	19%	24%	
	graduatedegree	28%	37%	40%	39%	20%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	29%	26%	26%	30%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	57%	56%	56%	47%	57%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	14%	7%	10%	12%	20%	13%	
	income 25-50k	15%	11%	19%	17%	23%	17%	
	income 50-75k	11%	10%	14%	12%	17%	13%	
	income 75-200k	43%	46%	40%	45%	36%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	18%	25%	16%	14%	4%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	89%	95%	68%	74%	65%	77%	
	multi-family	11%	5%	32%	26%	35%	23%	
	rented	21%	14%	48%	44%	51%	36%	
	owned	79%	86%	52%	56%	49%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 106



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 106

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,964	7,056	6,951	7,037	6,918	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-21	71	-34	52	-67	138
	% Deviation	-0.30%	1.02%	-0.49%	0.74%	-0.96%	1.98%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	14%	18%	29%	22%	20%
	% NH White	67%	56%	67%	46%	58%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	4%	4%	9%	5%	5%
	% Asian-American	13%	24%	10%	15%	12%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,342	5,431	5,356	5,434	5,262	26,824
	% Hisp	16%	16%	20%	25%	26%	21%
	% NH White	70%	58%	65%	44%	59%	59%
	% NH Black	1%	4%	3%	14%	3%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	12%	21%	10%	16%	10%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,391	4,057	5,324	4,047	3,492	22,311
	% Latino est.	13%	14%	17%	25%	23%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	13%	15%	23%	21%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	12%	5%	5%	5%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	68%	73%	47%	66%	66%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	1%	5%	3%	20%	2%	6%
	Total	4,292	3,051	4,258	2,833	2,500	16,934
	% Latino est.	12%	15%	17%	25%	23%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	13%	15%	23%	21%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	11%	4%	5%	5%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	74%	69%	74%	50%	67%	68%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH Black	1%	5%	3%	18%	2%	5%
	Total	2,988	1,966	2,944	1,334	1,487	10,719
	% Latino est.	9%	13%	12%	18%	16%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	12%	11%	16%	14%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	11%	3%	5%	3%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	82%	70%	82%	58%	76%	76%
% NH Black est.	1%	6%	3%	17%	3%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,147	6,920	7,235	7,338	7,125	35,765
Age	age0-19	23%	29%	21%	29%	32%	27%
	age20-60	47%	45%	48%	52%	50%	48%
	age60plus	30%	26%	31%	18%	19%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	21%	22%	18%	20%	15%	19%
	naturalized	77%	68%	75%	57%	54%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	73%	70%	74%	64%	70%	70%
	spanish	10%	11%	13%	19%	15%	14%
	asian-lang	10%	12%	8%	12%	9%	10%
	other lang	7%	7%	4%	5%	6%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	7%	11%	7%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	32%	33%	40%	40%	41%	37%
	bachelor	26%	26%	24%	20%	23%	24%
	graduatedegree	38%	38%	30%	26%	29%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	28%	23%	29%	27%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	52%	57%	56%	51%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	8%	7%	15%	17%	17%	13%
	income 25-50k	14%	11%	19%	23%	18%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	10%	13%	16%	13%	13%
	income 75-200k	44%	45%	40%	37%	43%	42%
	income 200k-plus	21%	27%	13%	8%	8%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	85%	95%	74%	61%	79%	77%
	multi-family	15%	5%	26%	39%	21%	23%
	rented	27%	14%	39%	54%	39%	36%
	owned	73%	86%	61%	46%	61%	64%


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 107



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 107

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,963	7,056	6,951	6,943	7,013	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-22	71	-34	-42	28	113
	% Deviation	-0.32%	1.02%	-0.49%	-0.60%	0.40%	1.62%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	14%	18%	31%	21%	20%
	% NH White	67%	56%	67%	45%	60%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	4%	4%	9%	5%	5%
	% Asian-American	13%	24%	10%	15%	12%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,341	5,431	5,356	5,326	5,370	26,824
	% Hisp	16%	16%	20%	27%	25%	21%
	% NH White	70%	58%	65%	43%	60%	59%
	% NH Black	1%	4%	3%	13%	4%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	12%	21%	10%	17%	10%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,371	4,057	5,324	3,954	3,605	22,311
	% Latino est.	13%	14%	17%	26%	23%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	13%	15%	23%	20%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	12%	5%	5%	5%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	68%	73%	47%	66%	66%
% NH Black	1%	5%	3%	20%	3%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	4,278	3,051	4,258	2,750	2,596	16,934
	% Latino est.	12%	15%	17%	26%	23%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	13%	15%	23%	21%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	11%	4%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	75%	69%	74%	50%	66%	68%
% NH Black	1%	5%	3%	18%	3%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,981	1,966	2,944	1,301	1,527	10,719
	% Latino est.	9%	13%	12%	19%	15%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	12%	11%	17%	14%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	11%	3%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	82%	70%	82%	59%	75%	76%
% NH Black est.	1%	6%	3%	15%	5%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,146	6,920	7,235	7,233	7,231	35,765
Age	age0-19	23%	29%	21%	30%	32%	27%
	age20-60	47%	45%	48%	52%	50%	48%
	age60plus	30%	26%	31%	18%	19%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	21%	22%	18%	20%	15%	19%
	naturalized	77%	68%	75%	57%	54%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	73%	70%	74%	64%	70%	70%
	spanish	10%	11%	13%	19%	15%	14%
	asian-lang	10%	12%	8%	12%	9%	10%
	other lang	7%	7%	4%	5%	6%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	7%	11%	7%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	32%	33%	40%	40%	41%	37%
	bachelor	26%	26%	24%	20%	23%	24%
	graduatedegree	38%	38%	30%	26%	28%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	28%	23%	29%	27%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	52%	57%	56%	51%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	8%	7%	15%	16%	17%	13%
	income 25-50k	14%	11%	19%	23%	18%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	10%	13%	16%	14%	13%
	income 75-200k	44%	45%	40%	37%	43%	42%
	income 200k-plus	21%	27%	13%	9%	8%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	85%	95%	74%	60%	78%	77%
	multi-family	15%	5%	26%	40%	22%	23%
	rented	27%	14%	39%	54%	40%	36%
	owned	73%	86%	61%	46%	60%	64%


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 110



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 110

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	7,194	6,880	6,872	6,987	6,993	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	209	-105	-113	2	8	322	
	% Deviation	2.99%	-1.50%	-1.62%	0.03%	0.11%	4.61%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	15%	16%	20%	13%	35%	20%	
	% NH White	62%	58%	63%	68%	43%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%	
	% Asian-American	18%	21%	12%	12%	11%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,372	5,279	5,458	5,519	5,197	26,824	
	% Hisp	14%	21%	21%	16%	32%	21%	
	% NH White	64%	59%	66%	56%	50%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	2%	2%	12%	6%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	16%	18%	9%	15%	11%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,402	4,340	5,223	4,167	4,179	22,311	
	% Latino est.	14%	14%	17%	13%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	12%	15%	11%	29%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	9%	12%	5%	6%	4%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	72%	69%	73%	61%	55%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	4%	2%	2%	16%	7%	6%	
	Total	3,392	3,306	4,222	2,957	3,057	16,934	
	% Latino est.	14%	14%	17%	12%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	12%	16%	11%	28%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	11%	4%	5%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	72%	71%	74%	65%	56%	68%	
	% NH Black	4%	2%	2%	13%	7%	5%	
	Total	2,255	2,150	2,698	2,049	1,567	10,719	
	% Latino est.	11%	11%	13%	8%	22%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	10%	12%	7%	19%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	10%	4%	3%	5%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	76%	74%	80%	83%	61%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	3%	4%	3%	5%	12%	5%	
	Total	7,131	6,879	7,244	6,862	7,650	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	29%	27%	21%	30%	28%	27%
		age20-60	46%	45%	48%	50%	53%	48%
age60plus		25%	27%	31%	20%	19%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	20%	21%	17%	20%	17%	19%	
	naturalized	69%	70%	72%	64%	58%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	71%	71%	75%	69%	65%	70%	
	spanish	11%	10%	12%	13%	21%	14%	
	asian-lang	12%	12%	8%	11%	9%	10%	
	other lang	7%	7%	4%	7%	5%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	7%	5%	12%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	34%	43%	27%	45%	37%	
	bachelor	26%	26%	23%	26%	19%	24%	
	graduatedegree	36%	37%	28%	42%	20%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	29%	24%	25%	30%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	53%	54%	57%	52%	57%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	8%	9%	17%	9%	20%	13%	
	income 25-50k	11%	12%	18%	20%	23%	17%	
	income 50-75k	11%	10%	10%	17%	17%	13%	
	income 75-200k	46%	47%	43%	37%	36%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	24%	23%	12%	17%	4%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	95%	93%	80%	61%	65%	77%	
	multi-family	5%	7%	20%	39%	35%	23%	
	rented	15%	16%	31%	58%	51%	36%	
	owned	85%	84%	69%	42%	49%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 114*



* NDC moved PopUnit 5 to bring this map into population balance. The map now matches the submitter's math, but differs from the submitter's map with PopUnit 5 moved from D1 to D2.

National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 114

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	6,971	6,981	6,802	7,296	6,876	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-14	-4	-183	311	-109	494	
	% Deviation	-0.20%	-0.06%	-2.62%	4.45%	-1.56%	7.07%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	19%	14%	17%	25%	23%	20%	
	% NH White	64%	62%	57%	54%	58%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	3%	4%	7%	6%	5%	
	% Asian-American	11%	19%	21%	12%	11%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,500	5,376	5,048	5,460	5,441	26,824	
	% Hisp	19%	15%	21%	25%	22%	21%	
	% NH White	68%	64%	57%	50%	56%	59%	
	% NH Black	2%	3%	3%	11%	6%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	9%	16%	19%	13%	13%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,475	4,195	4,074	4,434	4,133	22,311	
	% Latino est.	17%	14%	14%	20%	24%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	15%	12%	12%	18%	22%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	10%	12%	5%	5%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	72%	72%	68%	64%	53%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	2%	3%	3%	10%	12%	6%	
	Total	4,405	3,228	3,043	3,348	2,910	16,934	
	% Latino est.	17%	14%	14%	19%	24%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	15%	13%	13%	17%	22%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	9%	11%	4%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	73%	73%	69%	66%	57%	68%	
	% NH Black	2%	2%	3%	10%	10%	5%	
	Total	2,824	2,130	1,985	2,295	1,485	10,719	
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	12%	12%	17%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	11%	10%	15%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	8%	10%	4%	3%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	80%	77%	72%	79%	66%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	3%	2%	6%	5%	11%	5%	
	Total	7,316	6,964	6,716	7,648	7,121	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	22%	29%	29%	25%	31%	27%
		age20-60	47%	47%	45%	52%	51%	48%
age60plus		31%	24%	26%	23%	18%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	18%	19%	22%	22%	15%	19%	
	naturalized	72%	69%	68%	66%	58%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	75%	71%	70%	65%	69%	70%	
	spanish	12%	11%	11%	17%	17%	14%	
	asian-lang	8%	12%	12%	12%	8%	10%	
	other lang	4%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	7%	8%	10%	7%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	43%	34%	34%	35%	40%	37%	
	bachelor	23%	26%	25%	22%	23%	24%	
	graduatedegree	28%	36%	38%	33%	27%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	24%	29%	28%	28%	27%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	57%	54%	52%	58%	52%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	7%	9%	13%	18%	13%	
	income 25-50k	17%	11%	12%	22%	21%	17%	
	income 50-75k	10%	11%	9%	16%	19%	13%	
	income 75-200k	44%	48%	46%	37%	36%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	13%	23%	24%	13%	6%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	83%	96%	92%	59%	69%	77%	
	multi-family	17%	4%	8%	41%	31%	23%	
	rented	28%	15%	19%	56%	51%	36%	
	owned	72%	85%	81%	44%	49%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 115



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 115

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,682	7,172	6,931	7,148	6,993	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-303	187	-54	163	8	490
	% Deviation	-4.34%	2.68%	-0.77%	2.33%	0.11%	7.02%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	18%	19%	11%	35%	20%
	% NH White	60%	58%	64%	69%	43%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	4%	5%	4%	9%	5%
	% Asian-American	20%	18%	11%	14%	11%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	4,891	5,756	5,491	5,489	5,197	26,824
	% Hisp	15%	20%	18%	18%	32%	21%
	% NH White	61%	67%	57%	59%	50%	59%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	10%	5%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	22%	10%	13%	16%	11%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,809	5,117	5,008	3,198	4,179	22,311
	% Latino est.	13%	16%	17%	10%	32%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	15%	16%	9%	29%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	7%	5%	6%	4%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	70%	71%	67%	66%	55%	66%
% NH Black	3%	2%	8%	11%	7%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,765	4,040	3,919	2,153	3,057	16,934
	% Latino est.	13%	17%	17%	10%	32%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	15%	15%	9%	28%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	6%	5%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	72%	72%	68%	71%	56%	68%
% NH Black	3%	2%	8%	8%	7%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,610	2,538	2,523	1,481	1,567	10,719
	% Latino est.	10%	13%	12%	7%	22%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	11%	11%	7%	19%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	6%	3%	3%	5%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	74%	78%	80%	84%	61%	76%
% NH Black est.	4%	3%	4%	3%	12%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	6,590	7,659	7,190	6,676	7,650	35,765
Age	age0-19	25%	24%	21%	38%	28%	27%
	age20-60	44%	47%	49%	50%	53%	48%
	age60plus	31%	30%	31%	12%	19%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	23%	19%	18%	18%	17%	19%
	naturalized	80%	68%	76%	48%	58%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	71%	74%	74%	65%	65%	70%
	spanish	9%	10%	13%	14%	21%	14%
	asian-lang	12%	9%	8%	13%	9%	10%
	other lang	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	8%	6%	5%	12%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	32%	37%	39%	28%	45%	37%
	bachelor	27%	24%	24%	25%	19%	24%
	graduatedegree	37%	36%	31%	42%	20%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	29%	28%	23%	25%	30%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	55%	57%	47%	57%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	5%	13%	15%	10%	20%	13%
	income 25-50k	9%	15%	20%	19%	23%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	7%	14%	17%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	45%	49%	38%	38%	36%	42%
	income 200k-plus	30%	16%	13%	16%	4%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	99%	88%	70%	62%	65%	77%
	multi-family	1%	12%	30%	38%	35%	23%
	rented	9%	23%	43%	59%	51%	36%
	owned	91%	77%	57%	41%	49%	64%


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 116



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 116

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	6,908	7,122	7,170	6,733	6,993	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-77	137	185	-252	8	437	
	% Deviation	-1.10%	1.96%	2.65%	-3.61%	0.11%	6.26%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	19%	16%	14%	13%	35%	20%	
	% NH White	64%	56%	68%	63%	43%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%	
	% Asian-American	11%	22%	13%	17%	11%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,375	5,359	5,793	5,100	5,197	26,824	
	% Hisp	18%	16%	15%	22%	32%	21%	
	% NH White	68%	58%	61%	57%	50%	59%	
	% NH Black	2%	3%	10%	4%	6%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	10%	22%	12%	15%	11%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,341	4,978	5,204	2,609	4,179	22,311	
	% Latino est.	18%	13%	13%	13%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	16%	12%	12%	12%	29%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	14%	5%	7%	4%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	73%	68%	69%	62%	55%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	2%	3%	7%	13%	7%	6%	
	Total	4,279	3,879	4,067	1,652	3,057	16,934	
	% Latino est.	18%	13%	13%	14%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	16%	12%	11%	13%	28%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	12%	4%	7%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	73%	70%	71%	65%	56%	68%	
	% NH Black	2%	3%	7%	9%	7%	5%	
	Total	2,815	2,621	2,831	885	1,567	10,719	
	% Latino est.	12%	12%	9%	11%	22%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	8%	10%	19%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	11%	3%	5%	5%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	80%	71%	84%	77%	61%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	3%	5%	3%	5%	12%	5%	
	Total	7,211	7,058	7,484	6,362	7,650	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	22%	25%	22%	40%	28%	27%
		age20-60	47%	43%	50%	49%	53%	48%
age60plus		31%	31%	29%	11%	19%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	18%	23%	21%	17%	17%	19%	
	naturalized	74%	78%	74%	40%	58%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	75%	71%	72%	66%	65%	70%	
	spanish	12%	10%	12%	14%	21%	14%	
	asian-lang	9%	12%	9%	13%	9%	10%	
	other lang	4%	7%	6%	7%	5%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	9%	6%	6%	12%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	43%	32%	29%	38%	45%	37%	
	bachelor	24%	26%	26%	23%	19%	24%	
	graduatedegree	27%	38%	41%	36%	20%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	24%	29%	25%	28%	30%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	57%	56%	56%	46%	57%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	16%	6%	10%	14%	20%	13%	
	income 25-50k	16%	10%	20%	15%	23%	17%	
	income 50-75k	10%	11%	16%	8%	17%	13%	
	income 75-200k	44%	45%	38%	50%	36%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	14%	29%	16%	13%	4%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	86%	98%	64%	84%	65%	77%	
	multi-family	14%	2%	36%	16%	35%	23%	
	rented	24%	11%	53%	31%	51%	36%	
	owned	76%	89%	47%	69%	49%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 119




National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 119

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,808	7,115	7,162	6,804	7,037	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-177	130	177	-181	52	358
	% Deviation	-2.53%	1.86%	2.53%	-2.59%	0.74%	5.13%
Total Pop	% Hisp	18%	31%	14%	17%	18%	20%
	% NH White	60%	47%	68%	61%	58%	59%
	% NH Black	5%	8%	3%	5%	4%	5%
	% Asian-American	16%	13%	14%	14%	17%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,162	5,258	5,807	5,336	5,261	26,824
	% Hisp	18%	29%	15%	20%	22%	21%
	% NH White	63%	50%	66%	56%	59%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	7%	6%	7%	2%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	15%	12%	12%	16%	16%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,927	4,592	5,036	3,509	4,247	22,311
	% Latino est.	15%	23%	15%	21%	16%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	14%	20%	13%	19%	15%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	9%	5%	6%	5%	10%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	70%	63%	73%	54%	68%	66%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	3%	7%	4%	14%	2%	6%
	Total	3,922	3,513	3,965	2,369	3,164	16,934
	% Latino est.	16%	22%	15%	21%	17%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	14%	20%	13%	19%	15%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	5%	5%	5%	9%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	71%	64%	75%	56%	69%	68%
	% NH Black	3%	6%	4%	11%	2%	5%
	Total	2,621	1,988	2,919	1,138	2,054	10,719
	% Latino est.	12%	17%	9%	16%	12%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	15%	8%	14%	11%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	4%	4%	4%	8%	6%
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	75%	72%	85%	64%	74%	76%
	% NH Black est.	4%	6%	2%	13%	4%	5%
	Total	6,874	7,600	7,501	6,709	7,081	35,765
Age	age0-19	22%	26%	23%	36%	29%	27%
	age20-60	46%	52%	48%	50%	46%	48%
	age60plus	31%	22%	29%	14%	25%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	19%	20%	20%	15%	20%	19%
	naturalized	80%	62%	73%	49%	66%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	74%	66%	73%	67%	70%	70%
	spanish	12%	17%	11%	16%	12%	14%
	asian-lang	9%	11%	10%	11%	11%	10%
	other lang	5%	5%	6%	6%	7%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	11%	7%	7%	8%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	41%	40%	32%	38%	35%	37%
	bachelor	25%	21%	25%	23%	25%	24%
	graduatedegree	27%	29%	39%	29%	35%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	30%	27%	26%	28%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	58%	57%	56%	49%	53%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	13%	16%	10%	16%	11%	13%
	income 25-50k	14%	21%	17%	21%	13%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	13%	13%	19%	11%	13%
	income 75-200k	42%	40%	42%	36%	45%	42%
	income 200k-plus	19%	10%	18%	8%	19%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	91%	65%	75%	68%	88%	77%
	multi-family	9%	35%	25%	32%	12%	23%
	rented	19%	48%	39%	52%	25%	36%
	owned	81%	52%	61%	48%	75%	64%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.							
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.							

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 123



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 123

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	7,059	6,848	7,162	7,008	6,849	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	74	-137	177	23	-136	314
	% Deviation	1.06%	-1.96%	2.53%	0.33%	-1.95%	4.50%
Total Pop	% Hisp	14%	16%	16%	20%	33%	20%
	% NH White	61%	58%	66%	59%	49%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	4%	4%	6%	8%	5%
	% Asian-American	20%	20%	12%	14%	8%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,319	5,193	5,711	5,463	5,138	26,824
	% Hisp	14%	21%	19%	19%	30%	21%
	% NH White	64%	59%	65%	53%	54%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	2%	3%	12%	5%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	18%	17%	11%	15%	10%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,291	4,154	4,729	4,789	4,348	22,311
	% Latino est.	13%	14%	16%	16%	30%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	13%	14%	15%	27%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	11%	5%	6%	4%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	69%	73%	65%	52%	66%
% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	9%	12%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,291	3,135	3,742	3,672	3,093	16,934
	% Latino est.	13%	14%	16%	16%	30%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	13%	14%	14%	27%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	9%	10%	4%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	72%	71%	74%	67%	55%	68%
% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	8%	10%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,170	2,061	2,416	2,337	1,735	10,719
	% Latino est.	10%	12%	11%	12%	19%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	11%	10%	11%	17%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	10%	4%	4%	3%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	77%	73%	81%	78%	66%	76%
% NH Black est.	3%	4%	3%	5%	10%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	6,989	6,810	7,270	7,313	7,384	35,765
Age	age0-19	29%	29%	26%	23%	28%	27%
	age20-60	46%	45%	48%	50%	52%	48%
	age60plus	25%	26%	26%	26%	20%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	21%	21%	18%	20%	17%	19%
	naturalized	69%	68%	66%	70%	60%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	70%	71%	72%	71%	66%	70%
	spanish	11%	11%	13%	14%	20%	14%
	asian-lang	12%	12%	10%	10%	9%	10%
	other lang	7%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	8%	6%	7%	10%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	34%	40%	35%	43%	37%
	bachelor	26%	25%	24%	24%	20%	24%
	graduatedegree	37%	37%	30%	35%	23%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	29%	28%	24%	26%	29%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	53%	53%	54%	56%	56%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	7%	9%	16%	13%	19%	13%
	income 25-50k	11%	12%	18%	20%	22%	17%
	income 50-75k	11%	10%	12%	15%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	46%	47%	42%	39%	36%	42%
	income 200k-plus	25%	22%	13%	13%	5%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	96%	92%	77%	66%	65%	77%
	multi-family	4%	8%	23%	34%	35%	23%
	rented	13%	19%	35%	49%	52%	36%
	owned	87%	81%	65%	51%	48%	64%


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 124



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 124

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	6,765	7,021	6,958	7,044	7,138	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-220	36	-27	59	153	373	
	% Deviation	-3.15%	0.52%	-0.39%	0.84%	2.19%	5.34%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	15%	16%	13%	22%	33%	20%	
	% NH White	68%	58%	63%	58%	48%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	6%	8%	5%	
	% Asian-American	12%	21%	18%	13%	9%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,221	5,384	5,407	5,453	5,359	26,824	
	% Hisp	15%	21%	15%	21%	30%	21%	
	% NH White	64%	59%	64%	57%	52%	59%	
	% NH Black	6%	2%	4%	7%	6%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	13%	18%	16%	13%	11%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,963	4,330	3,510	4,978	4,530	22,311	
	% Latino est.	14%	14%	14%	17%	30%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	12%	12%	16%	27%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	12%	7%	5%	5%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	73%	69%	71%	69%	50%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	5%	2%	3%	6%	13%	6%	
	Total	3,898	3,298	2,655	3,931	3,152	16,934	
	% Latino est.	13%	14%	14%	17%	31%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	12%	13%	15%	28%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	11%	6%	5%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	75%	71%	72%	70%	52%	68%	
	% NH Black	4%	2%	3%	6%	10%	5%	
	Total	2,856	2,149	1,624	2,458	1,631	10,719	
	% Latino est.	9%	11%	12%	14%	21%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	8%	10%	10%	12%	19%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	10%	6%	4%	4%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	83%	74%	78%	78%	60%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	3%	4%	2%	4%	13%	5%	
	Total	6,934	7,003	6,827	7,366	7,636	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	23%	28%	32%	22%	30%	27%
		age20-60	47%	45%	49%	49%	52%	48%
age60plus		30%	27%	19%	29%	18%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	22%	21%	18%	18%	16%	19%	
	naturalized	75%	70%	59%	71%	57%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	72%	71%	69%	72%	66%	70%	
	spanish	11%	11%	12%	14%	20%	14%	
	asian-lang	10%	12%	12%	9%	9%	10%	
	other lang	7%	7%	7%	4%	5%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	6%	8%	10%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	34%	34%	42%	44%	37%	
	bachelor	26%	26%	25%	23%	20%	24%	
	graduatedegree	39%	37%	37%	28%	21%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	27%	29%	28%	24%	29%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	53%	51%	57%	55%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	9%	9%	9%	17%	20%	13%	
	income 25-50k	16%	12%	15%	20%	22%	17%	
	income 50-75k	13%	10%	12%	12%	17%	13%	
	income 75-200k	41%	47%	46%	40%	37%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	20%	23%	18%	11%	4%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	77%	93%	84%	72%	66%	77%	
	multi-family	23%	7%	16%	28%	34%	23%	
	rented	36%	16%	29%	40%	52%	36%	
	owned	64%	84%	71%	60%	48%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 125



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 125

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	7,211	6,881	6,943	6,898	6,993	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	226	-104	-42	-87	8	330
	% Deviation	3.24%	-1.49%	-0.60%	-1.25%	0.11%	4.72%
Total Pop	% Hisp	19%	16%	14%	15%	35%	20%
	% NH White	63%	57%	63%	68%	43%	59%
	% NH Black	5%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%
	% Asian-American	12%	22%	18%	11%	11%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,396	5,216	5,576	5,439	5,197	26,824
	% Hisp	18%	18%	19%	17%	32%	21%
	% NH White	65%	60%	63%	57%	50%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	2%	4%	11%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	13%	20%	13%	13%	11%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,602	4,933	2,962	4,635	4,179	22,311
	% Latino est.	17%	14%	15%	12%	32%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	15%	12%	13%	11%	29%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	13%	6%	5%	4%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	69%	73%	64%	55%	66%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	3%	2%	3%	14%	7%	6%
	Total	4,466	3,854	2,154	3,402	3,057	16,934
	% Latino est.	17%	14%	15%	12%	32%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	15%	12%	14%	11%	28%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	11%	5%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	72%	70%	74%	68%	56%	68%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH Black	3%	2%	3%	11%	7%	5%
	Total	2,867	2,579	1,294	2,412	1,567	10,719
	% Latino est.	13%	12%	10%	9%	22%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	9%	8%	19%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	11%	4%	2%	5%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	77%	73%	82%	83%	61%	76%
% NH Black est.	4%	3%	2%	4%	12%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,394	6,941	6,840	6,940	7,650	35,765
Age	age0-19	22%	25%	35%	26%	28%	27%
	age20-60	46%	45%	49%	50%	53%	48%
	age60plus	32%	30%	17%	24%	19%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	19%	22%	17%	21%	17%	19%
	naturalized	75%	78%	48%	70%	58%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	74%	72%	69%	70%	65%	70%
	spanish	12%	10%	13%	13%	21%	14%
	asian-lang	9%	11%	12%	10%	9%	10%
	other lang	5%	7%	6%	7%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	8%	6%	6%	12%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	42%	33%	38%	27%	45%	37%
	bachelor	24%	26%	24%	26%	19%	24%
	graduatedegree	28%	37%	36%	43%	20%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	24%	29%	28%	25%	30%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	56%	49%	54%	57%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	15%	7%	14%	9%	20%	13%
	income 25-50k	15%	11%	16%	20%	23%	17%
	income 50-75k	10%	11%	7%	17%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	43%	46%	50%	37%	36%	42%
	income 200k-plus	17%	25%	13%	17%	4%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	88%	96%	86%	61%	65%	77%
	multi-family	12%	4%	14%	39%	35%	23%
	rented	22%	13%	26%	58%	51%	36%
	owned	78%	87%	74%	42%	49%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Proposed Election Sequences are only listed for contiguous, population-balanced maps.

Two districts must hold elections in 2020, and three in 2022.

Map	2020 Election	2022 Election
101	4 and either 1 or 3	2, 5 and either 1 or 3
102	two of 2, 3, 4 or 5	1 and two of 2, 3, 4 or 5
104	1 and either 3 or 5	2, 4 and either 3 or 5
106	two of 1, 3, 4 or 5	2 and two of 1, 3, 4 or 5
107	two of 1, 3, 4 or 5	2 and two of 1, 3, 4 or 5
110	4 and either 3 or 5	1, 2 and either 3 or 5
114	4 and one of 1, 3 or 5	2 and two of 1, 3 or 5
115	4 and either 3 or 5	1, 2 and either 3 or 5
116	3 and one of 1, 4 or 5	2 and two of 1, 4 or 5
119	3 and one of 1, 4 or 5	2 and two of 1, 4 or 5
123	3 and 5	1, 2 and 4
124	1 and 5	2, 3 and 4
125	4 and one of 1, 3 or 5	2 and two of 1, 3 or 5

Not for Consideration


Not Balanced and/or

Contiguous

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 103

Only 4
Districts



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 103 (4 Districts)

District		1	2	3	4	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	8,711	8,711	8,775	8,729	34,926
8,732	Deviation from ideal	-21	-21	43	-3	64
	% Deviation	-0.24%	-0.24%	0.49%	-0.03%	0.73%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	19%	16%	28%	20%
	% NH White	62%	62%	59%	52%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	5%	4%	7%	5%
	% Asian-American	16%	12%	19%	11%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	6,521	6,837	6,871	6,595	26,824
	% Hisp	14%	20%	20%	28%	21%
	% NH White	65%	56%	63%	52%	59%
	% NH Black	2%	9%	3%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	17%	13%	13%	13%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	6,433	6,228	5,176	4,474	22,311
	% Latino est.	13%	16%	15%	30%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	14%	14%	27%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	5%	8%	5%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	70%	69%	71%	51%	66%
% NH Black	3%	7%	2%	13%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	5,046	4,875	3,962	3,050	16,934
	% Latino est.	13%	16%	16%	31%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	14%	14%	28%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	4%	7%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	71%	72%	53%	68%
% NH Black	3%	7%	2%	11%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	3,320	3,354	2,518	1,527	10,719
	% Latino est.	10%	11%	13%	21%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	10%	12%	19%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	4%	7%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	76%	82%	76%	60%	76%
% NH Black est.	4%	3%	2%	13%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	8,803	9,066	8,898	8,999	35,765
Age	age0-19	24%	22%	28%	33%	27%
	age20-60	45%	50%	48%	52%	48%
	age60plus	31%	29%	24%	15%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	22%	19%	19%	16%	19%
	naturalized	76%	73%	65%	50%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	72%	72%	71%	65%	70%
	spanish	10%	14%	11%	19%	14%
	asian-lang	11%	9%	11%	11%	10%
	other lang	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	7%	7%	9%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	33%	37%	36%	44%	37%
	bachelor	26%	24%	25%	20%	24%
	graduatedegree	37%	32%	36%	21%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	27%	25%	28%	29%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	57%	53%	52%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	9%	14%	10%	20%	13%
	income 25-50k	13%	20%	13%	22%	17%
	income 50-75k	11%	15%	10%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	44%	38%	49%	37%	42%
	income 200k-plus	24%	13%	18%	4%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	89%	67%	90%	66%	77%
	multi-family	11%	33%	10%	34%	23%
	rented	21%	47%	23%	52%	36%
	owned	79%	53%	77%	48%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 105

Not Population
Balanced



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 105

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	7,730	6,901	6,981	6,810	6,504	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	745	-84	-4	-175	-481	1,226
	% Deviation	10.67%	-1.20%	-0.06%	-2.51%	-6.89%	17.55%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	18%	14%	27%	24%	20%
	% NH White	59%	66%	63%	51%	55%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	8%	6%	5%
	% Asian-American	21%	11%	17%	13%	12%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,679	5,521	5,509	5,054	5,062	26,824
	% Hisp	15%	20%	21%	25%	22%	21%
	% NH White	59%	68%	62%	48%	57%	59%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	4%	12%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	23%	9%	12%	13%	12%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,608	5,294	3,032	4,186	4,191	22,311
	% Latino est.	13%	17%	16%	22%	23%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	14%	19%	21%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	5%	6%	5%	5%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	69%	73%	72%	60%	56%	66%
% NH Black	3%	2%	3%	12%	11%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	4,373	4,261	2,201	3,143	2,955	16,934
	% Latino est.	13%	17%	17%	21%	23%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	15%	19%	21%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	70%	74%	73%	62%	59%	68%
% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	12%	9%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	3,006	2,866	1,316	2,009	1,522	10,719
	% Latino est.	11%	12%	12%	13%	16%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	11%	11%	12%	15%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	4%	5%	4%	3%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	81%	80%	76%	68%	76%
% NH Black est.	4%	3%	2%	7%	9%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,596	7,276	6,889	7,146	6,859	35,765
Age	age0-19	25%	21%	34%	25%	29%	27%
	age20-60	43%	48%	49%	52%	51%	48%
	age60plus	31%	31%	17%	23%	20%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	24%	17%	17%	22%	15%	19%
	naturalized	80%	72%	49%	65%	62%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	71%	75%	69%	65%	70%	70%
	spanish	9%	13%	13%	18%	17%	14%
	asian-lang	12%	8%	12%	12%	8%	10%
	other lang	8%	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	9%	7%	6%	10%	7%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	43%	38%	36%	40%	37%
	bachelor	27%	23%	24%	22%	23%	24%
	graduatedegree	38%	28%	36%	32%	27%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	29%	24%	28%	28%	26%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	57%	49%	58%	53%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	5%	17%	14%	13%	17%	13%
	income 25-50k	9%	18%	16%	22%	20%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	10%	7%	15%	19%	13%
	income 75-200k	44%	43%	51%	37%	37%	42%
	income 200k-plus	30%	12%	13%	12%	7%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	99%	80%	86%	59%	69%	77%
	multi-family	1%	20%	14%	41%	31%	23%
	rented	9%	32%	26%	56%	52%	36%
	owned	91%	68%	74%	44%	48%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 108

Not Contiguous



Map layers	
	108
	Census Block
	Water Area
	Pipeline/Power Line
	Railroad
	River
	Streets
	Claremont
	College Etc.

National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 108

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,943	6,969	6,923	6,917	7,174	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-42	-16	-62	-68	189	257
	% Deviation	-0.60%	-0.23%	-0.89%	-0.97%	2.71%	3.68%
Total Pop	% Hisp	24%	24%	21%	17%	14%	20%
	% NH White	53%	57%	62%	61%	61%	59%
	% NH Black	6%	6%	4%	4%	4%	5%
	% Asian-American	15%	11%	11%	16%	20%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,174	5,161	5,589	5,229	5,671	26,824
	% Hisp	21%	22%	23%	17%	20%	21%
	% NH White	52%	57%	62%	65%	58%	59%
	% NH Black	8%	7%	3%	2%	4%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	17%	12%	10%	15%	16%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,654	4,917	4,862	5,063	2,815	22,311
	% Latino est.	19%	19%	19%	16%	15%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	17%	17%	17%	14%	14%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	6%	6%	9%	9%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	64%	67%	68%	64%	71%	66%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,506	3,812	3,802	3,803	2,010	16,934
	% Latino est.	19%	19%	18%	16%	16%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	17%	17%	17%	14%	14%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	5%	5%	8%	8%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	65%	68%	69%	68%	71%	68%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,098	2,336	2,650	2,389	1,245	10,719
	% Latino est.	14%	14%	11%	12%	12%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	13%	9%	10%	11%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	4%	4%	7%	7%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	76%	78%	76%	76%	76%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,072	7,325	7,358	7,104	6,906	35,765
	Age						
Age	age0-19	25%	24%	24%	26%	36%	27%
	age20-60	47%	50%	49%	48%	48%	48%
	age60plus	29%	26%	26%	26%	16%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	19%	21%	18%	19%	18%	19%
	naturalized	71%	67%	71%	72%	51%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	70%	69%	72%	72%	68%	70%
	spanish	16%	14%	14%	12%	13%	14%
	asian-lang	9%	11%	9%	10%	13%	10%
	other lang	5%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	9%	9%	8%	7%	6%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	43%	35%	36%	35%	36%	37%
	bachelor	22%	23%	24%	25%	24%	24%
	graduatedegree	24%	34%	32%	35%	36%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	24%	28%	28%	28%	28%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	57%	56%	55%	49%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	12%	13%	10%	11%	13%
	income 25-50k	18%	19%	18%	15%	13%	17%
	income 50-75k	14%	13%	14%	13%	9%	13%
	income 75-200k	37%	41%	41%	44%	49%	42%
	income 200k-plus	14%	15%	13%	18%	18%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	76%	70%	75%	85%	90%	77%
	multi-family	24%	30%	25%	15%	10%	23%
	rented	37%	43%	40%	28%	21%	36%
	owned	63%	57%	60%	72%	79%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 109

Not Contiguous



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019


Claremont - Map 109

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	6,726	6,832	7,030	6,992	7,346	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-259	-153	45	7	361	620	
	% Deviation	-3.71%	-2.19%	0.64%	0.10%	5.17%	8.88%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	15%	19%	28%	14%	23%	20%	
	% NH White	57%	61%	51%	68%	57%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	4%	8%	4%	5%	5%	
	% Asian-American	23%	14%	13%	13%	12%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,042	5,270	5,250	5,573	5,689	26,824	
	% Hisp	17%	17%	27%	16%	26%	21%	
	% NH White	59%	67%	53%	61%	56%	59%	
	% NH Black	2%	3%	8%	8%	4%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	21%	11%	11%	14%	13%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,749	4,962	4,562	4,454	3,584	22,311	
	% Latino est.	12%	18%	21%	14%	26%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	19%	12%	24%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	70%	71%	64%	65%	60%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	3%	3%	7%	11%	5%	6%	
	Total	3,685	3,973	3,519	3,278	2,479	16,934	
	% Latino est.	12%	18%	20%	13%	27%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	18%	12%	24%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	12%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	72%	72%	65%	69%	60%	68%	
	% NH Black	3%	3%	7%	8%	5%	5%	
	Total	2,480	2,608	2,212	2,045	1,373	10,719	
	% Latino est.	11%	13%	14%	9%	17%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	12%	13%	8%	16%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	5%	4%	3%	4%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	73%	77%	76%	82%	69%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	5%	3%	6%	3%	8%	5%	
	Total	6,663	7,092	7,475	7,012	7,522	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	25%	22%	26%	29%	33%	27%
		age20-60	43%	47%	52%	49%	50%	48%
age60plus		32%	31%	22%	22%	17%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	24%	17%	21%	19%	15%	19%	
	naturalized	77%	76%	63%	63%	52%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	71%	75%	66%	70%	69%	70%	
	spanish	10%	12%	17%	13%	16%	14%	
	asian-lang	12%	9%	12%	11%	9%	10%	
	other lang	7%	4%	5%	7%	6%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	9%	7%	11%	6%	7%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	32%	43%	39%	31%	41%	37%	
	bachelor	26%	24%	21%	25%	22%	24%	
	graduatedegree	38%	27%	30%	40%	26%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	24%	29%	26%	27%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	55%	57%	58%	52%	51%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	6%	15%	15%	10%	18%	13%	
	income 25-50k	10%	16%	22%	19%	19%	17%	
	income 50-75k	11%	11%	14%	14%	16%	13%	
	income 75-200k	44%	44%	39%	40%	41%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	29%	14%	10%	16%	6%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	96%	88%	64%	67%	76%	77%	
	multi-family	4%	12%	36%	33%	24%	23%	
	rented	12%	23%	50%	48%	44%	36%	
	owned	88%	77%	50%	52%	56%	64%	
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.								
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.								
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.								

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 111

Not Contiguous



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 111

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,959	6,974	6,963	6,949	7,081	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-26	-11	-22	-36	96	132
	% Deviation	-0.37%	-0.16%	-0.32%	-0.52%	1.37%	1.89%
Total Pop	% Hisp	17%	19%	22%	17%	24%	20%
	% NH White	61%	63%	60%	56%	55%	59%
	% NH Black	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%
	% Asian-American	17%	12%	12%	19%	13%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,378	5,272	5,375	5,408	5,391	26,824
	% Hisp	18%	19%	24%	16%	25%	21%
	% NH White	60%	63%	61%	56%	56%	59%
	% NH Black	5%	4%	3%	8%	5%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	16%	13%	10%	18%	13%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,189	4,296	5,111	3,835	4,880	22,311
	% Latino est.	16%	17%	17%	17%	21%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	14%	16%	16%	15%	19%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	9%	7%	6%	7%	7%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	62%	70%	69%	67%	64%	66%
% NH Black	11%	4%	3%	7%	6%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,029	3,297	3,983	2,880	3,745	16,934
	% Latino est.	17%	18%	17%	16%	20%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	15%	16%	15%	15%	18%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	65%	71%	71%	68%	65%	68%
% NH Black	8%	4%	3%	7%	6%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	1,851	2,387	2,464	1,761	2,255	10,719
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	13%	12%	15%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	11%	11%	13%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	72%	80%	78%	75%	73%	76%
% NH Black est.	7%	3%	3%	5%	6%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	6,911	7,016	7,291	7,096	7,452	35,765
Age	age0-19	31%	28%	23%	29%	25%	27%
	age20-60	48%	49%	48%	49%	49%	48%
	age60plus	21%	24%	29%	22%	26%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	18%	20%	19%	19%	19%	19%
	naturalized	61%	67%	74%	62%	69%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	70%	69%	73%	69%	70%	70%
	spanish	13%	14%	12%	14%	15%	14%
	asian-lang	11%	11%	9%	11%	10%	10%
	other lang	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	7%	8%	9%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	38%	37%	36%	36%	39%	37%
	bachelor	24%	24%	25%	23%	23%	24%
	graduatedegree	32%	31%	34%	33%	30%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	27%	27%	26%	28%	28%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	52%	55%	56%	53%	57%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	13%	13%	12%	13%	14%	13%
	income 25-50k	15%	17%	17%	18%	18%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	14%	13%	13%	13%	13%
	income 75-200k	43%	40%	42%	41%	41%	42%
	income 200k-plus	16%	16%	16%	15%	14%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	85%	75%	78%	75%	76%	77%
	multi-family	15%	25%	22%	25%	24%	23%
	rented	28%	38%	36%	38%	37%	36%
	owned	72%	62%	64%	62%	63%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 122

Not Population
Balanced



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 112

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	7,146	7,570	7,201	6,714	6,295	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	161	585	216	-271	-690	1,275
	% Deviation	2.30%	8.38%	3.09%	-3.88%	-9.88%	18.25%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	17%	32%	16%	17%	20%
	% NH White	67%	63%	48%	57%	60%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	5%	8%	4%	3%	5%
	% Asian-American	11%	14%	10%	21%	18%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,559	5,886	5,482	4,929	4,969	26,824
	% Hisp	18%	19%	28%	20%	17%	21%
	% NH White	66%	57%	49%	57%	66%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	8%	8%	3%	2%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	10%	14%	13%	20%	14%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,646	4,728	4,376	4,005	4,556	22,311
	% Latino est.	15%	15%	30%	13%	16%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	14%	13%	27%	11%	14%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	6%	5%	13%	8%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	75%	67%	49%	69%	71%	66%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	3%	7%	15%	3%	2%	6%
	Total	3,630	3,627	3,068	2,979	3,630	16,934
	% Latino est.	15%	14%	31%	13%	16%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	14%	13%	27%	12%	15%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	5%	4%	11%	7%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	76%	69%	51%	70%	72%	68%
	% NH Black	3%	6%	12%	3%	2%	5%
	Total	2,351	2,401	1,618	1,911	2,438	10,719
	% Latino est.	12%	11%	20%	10%	12%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	18%	9%	11%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	4%	4%	10%	7%	6%
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	81%	81%	63%	72%	77%	76%
	% NH Black est.	3%	4%	12%	6%	2%	5%
	Total	7,179	7,749	7,706	6,604	6,527	35,765
Age	age0-19	26%	27%	29%	29%	24%	27%
	age20-60	48%	50%	52%	44%	47%	48%
	age60plus	26%	23%	19%	27%	29%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	18%	20%	17%	22%	19%	19%
	naturalized	67%	65%	59%	68%	76%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	72%	69%	66%	70%	74%	70%
	spanish	13%	14%	19%	11%	10%	14%
	asian-lang	10%	11%	10%	12%	10%	10%
	other lang	5%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	7%	10%	8%	7%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	42%	33%	42%	33%	35%	37%
	bachelor	23%	24%	20%	25%	26%	24%
	graduatedegree	28%	37%	24%	38%	36%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	23%	27%	29%	28%	29%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	54%	54%	56%	52%	56%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	16%	12%	18%	9%	8%	13%
	income 25-50k	18%	20%	22%	11%	12%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	15%	17%	9%	11%	13%
	income 75-200k	41%	39%	36%	46%	48%	42%
	income 200k-plus	13%	14%	6%	24%	21%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	79%	65%	64%	92%	95%	77%
	multi-family	21%	35%	36%	8%	5%	23%
	rented	33%	51%	52%	18%	16%	36%
	owned	67%	49%	48%	82%	84%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 113

Not Population
Balanced nor
Contiguous



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 113

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,310	6,333	7,104	7,436	7,743	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-675	-652	119	451	758	1,433
	% Deviation	-9.66%	-9.33%	1.70%	6.46%	10.85%	20.52%
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	15%	20%	22%	25%	20%
	% NH White	62%	57%	63%	57%	55%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	6%	7%	5%
	% Asian-American	16%	23%	11%	13%	12%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	4,934	4,653	5,550	5,870	5,818	26,824
	% Hisp	19%	15%	18%	23%	25%	21%
	% NH White	69%	57%	68%	53%	50%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	3%	2%	6%	10%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	8%	25%	10%	15%	13%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	3,873	4,492	5,550	3,671	4,725	22,311
	% Latino est.	15%	12%	18%	26%	19%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	11%	16%	23%	17%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	14%	6%	5%	5%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	69%	71%	51%	66%	66%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	2%	14%	9%	6%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	2,971	3,488	4,447	2,435	3,592	16,934
	% Latino est.	15%	12%	18%	27%	18%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	11%	16%	24%	17%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	13%	5%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	70%	72%	53%	67%	68%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	2%	12%	9%	5%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	1,809	2,383	2,858	1,201	2,467	10,719
	% Latino est.	11%	11%	13%	19%	12%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	10%	11%	17%	11%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	11%	4%	4%	3%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	81%	71%	79%	60%	80%	76%
	% NH Black est.	2%	5%	3%	13%	5%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	6,521	6,187	7,464	7,484	8,110	35,765
Age	age0-19	27%	26%	22%	35%	25%	27%
	age20-60	49%	42%	48%	50%	52%	48%
	age60plus	24%	32%	31%	14%	23%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	18%	25%	17%	14%	22%	19%
	naturalized	62%	79%	73%	48%	66%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	72%	71%	75%	67%	66%	70%
	spanish	12%	9%	12%	17%	17%	14%
	asian-lang	10%	12%	8%	10%	12%	10%
	other lang	6%	8%	4%	6%	6%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	9%	7%	7%	10%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	36%	31%	43%	42%	35%	37%
	bachelor	25%	27%	24%	22%	22%	24%
	graduatedegree	37%	38%	27%	24%	33%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	27%	29%	25%	27%	28%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	53%	56%	57%	50%	58%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	13%	5%	16%	19%	13%	13%
	income 25-50k	16%	9%	16%	21%	22%	17%
	income 50-75k	10%	12%	10%	19%	16%	13%
	income 75-200k	48%	43%	45%	36%	37%	42%
	income 200k-plus	14%	32%	13%	4%	13%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	81%	99%	86%	71%	59%	77%
	multi-family	19%	1%	14%	29%	41%	23%
	rented	33%	9%	25%	50%	56%	36%
	owned	67%	91%	75%	50%	44%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 117

Not Population
Balanced



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 117

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	7,260	6,961	6,316	8,287	6,102	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	275	-24	-669	1,302	-883	2,185	
	% Deviation	3.94%	-0.34%	-9.58%	18.64%	-12.64%	31.28%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	22%	17%	15%	16%	31%	20%	
	% NH White	58%	67%	63%	60%	45%	59%	
	% NH Black	6%	4%	4%	4%	7%	5%	
	% Asian-American	13%	11%	17%	18%	15%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,569	5,477	4,969	6,242	4,566	26,824	
	% Hisp	21%	17%	15%	20%	30%	21%	
	% NH White	58%	64%	65%	59%	48%	59%	
	% NH Black	7%	6%	5%	3%	3%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	12%	12%	13%	17%	17%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,252	4,988	3,621	4,698	3,752	22,311	
	% Latino est.	18%	15%	15%	15%	27%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	16%	14%	14%	14%	24%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	5%	6%	7%	10%	8%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	68%	72%	67%	65%	57%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	6%	4%	4%	8%	6%	6%	
	Total	4,140	3,918	2,737	3,410	2,729	16,934	
	% Latino est.	18%	15%	15%	16%	27%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	16%	13%	14%	14%	24%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	5%	6%	9%	8%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	69%	74%	68%	68%	57%	68%	
	% NH Black	6%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	
	Total	2,570	2,903	1,553	2,150	1,543	10,719	
	% Latino est.	13%	9%	11%	12%	20%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	8%	10%	11%	18%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	4%	4%	6%	8%	8%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	77%	83%	75%	75%	60%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	4%	2%	5%	4%	11%	5%	
	Total	7,558	7,256	6,376	8,218	6,358	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	23%	23%	30%	31%	28%	27%
		age20-60	49%	48%	49%	47%	49%	48%
age60plus		28%	29%	21%	22%	23%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	19%	21%	18%	19%	19%	19%	
	naturalized	69%	73%	62%	63%	66%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	71%	72%	70%	70%	67%	70%	
	spanish	15%	12%	13%	12%	16%	14%	
	asian-lang	10%	10%	11%	11%	10%	10%	
	other lang	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	9%	7%	7%	7%	10%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	43%	32%	36%	36%	40%	37%	
	bachelor	22%	25%	25%	25%	22%	24%	
	graduatedegree	26%	38%	35%	35%	27%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	27%	27%	28%	29%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	58%	56%	52%	52%	55%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	17%	10%	12%	11%	15%	13%	
	income 25-50k	20%	17%	17%	13%	18%	17%	
	income 50-75k	13%	13%	14%	11%	15%	13%	
	income 75-200k	39%	42%	42%	46%	39%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	11%	18%	14%	19%	13%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	71%	74%	78%	90%	77%	77%	
	multi-family	29%	26%	22%	10%	23%	23%	
	rented	40%	39%	38%	21%	38%	36%	
	owned	60%	61%	62%	79%	62%	64%	

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.


Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 118

Not Population
Balanced



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019


Claremont - Map 118

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	3,894	7,868	6,872	9,311	6,981	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-3,091	883	-113	2,326	-4	5,417
	% Deviation	-44.25%	12.64%	-1.62%	33.30%	-0.06%	77.55%
Total Pop	% Hisp	15%	17%	20%	14%	33%	20%
	% NH White	63%	56%	63%	65%	47%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	5%	8%	5%
	% Asian-American	16%	22%	12%	15%	9%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	2,987	5,934	5,458	7,224	5,221	26,824
	% Hisp	12%	20%	21%	17%	31%	21%
	% NH White	71%	59%	66%	54%	52%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	10%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	12%	19%	9%	17%	11%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	2,879	5,427	5,223	4,447	4,335	22,311
	% Latino est.	15%	14%	17%	13%	31%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	12%	15%	12%	27%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	12%	5%	6%	4%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	69%	73%	67%	50%	66%
	% NH Black	3%	3%	2%	10%	13%	6%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	2,290	4,212	4,222	3,195	3,015	16,934
	% Latino est.	15%	14%	17%	12%	31%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	12%	16%	11%	28%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	11%	4%	5%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	74%	70%	74%	69%	52%	68%
	% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	9%	11%	5%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	1,548	2,795	2,698	2,137	1,542	10,719
	% Latino est.	10%	12%	13%	8%	21%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	11%	12%	8%	19%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	10%	4%	3%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	79%	73%	80%	83%	60%	76%
	% NH Black est.	2%	4%	3%	4%	13%	5%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	4,010	7,962	7,244	9,073	7,476	35,765
Age	age0-19	24%	25%	21%	32%	30%	27%
	age20-60	46%	45%	48%	50%	52%	48%
	age60plus	30%	31%	31%	17%	18%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	21%	22%	17%	20%	16%	19%
	naturalized	75%	76%	72%	58%	56%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	73%	72%	75%	66%	66%	70%
	spanish	10%	10%	12%	15%	20%	14%
	asian-lang	10%	11%	8%	12%	9%	10%
	other lang	6%	7%	4%	7%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	8%	8%	7%	6%	11%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	35%	34%	43%	30%	44%	37%
	bachelor	26%	26%	23%	25%	20%	24%
	graduatedegree	36%	37%	28%	39%	21%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	29%	24%	26%	29%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	56%	57%	51%	55%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	8%	8%	17%	10%	20%	13%
	income 25-50k	12%	11%	18%	21%	22%	17%
	income 50-75k	10%	10%	10%	17%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	46%	46%	43%	37%	37%	42%
	income 200k-plus	23%	24%	12%	15%	4%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	94%	94%	80%	60%	66%	77%
	multi-family	6%	6%	20%	40%	34%	23%
	rented	15%	15%	31%	57%	52%	36%
	owned	85%	85%	69%	43%	48%	64%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.							
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.							

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 120

Not Population
Balanced



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019


Claremont - Map 120

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	6,966	7,624	7,789	5,425	7,122	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-19	639	804	-1,560	137	2,364
	% Deviation	-0.27%	9.15%	11.51%	-22.33%	1.96%	33.84%
Total Pop	% Hisp	14%	16%	17%	18%	33%	20%
	% NH White	64%	57%	67%	58%	48%	59%
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	6%	8%	5%
	% Asian-American	17%	21%	10%	17%	9%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,224	5,817	6,274	4,183	5,326	26,824
	% Hisp	14%	21%	20%	17%	31%	21%
	% NH White	67%	58%	68%	47%	52%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	15%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	15%	19%	9%	19%	11%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,376	4,787	5,835	2,978	4,335	22,311
	% Latino est.	14%	13%	16%	15%	31%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	12%	15%	13%	27%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	8%	13%	5%	6%	4%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	74%	69%	74%	60%	50%	66%
% NH Black	3%	3%	2%	13%	13%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,382	3,654	4,718	2,166	3,015	16,934
	% Latino est.	14%	14%	16%	14%	31%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	13%	12%	15%	12%	28%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	7%	11%	4%	6%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	74%	70%	75%	62%	52%	68%
% NH Black	3%	3%	2%	13%	11%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,204	2,397	3,391	1,185	1,542	10,719
	% Latino est.	11%	11%	11%	11%	21%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	10%	10%	10%	19%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	6%	10%	3%	4%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	78%	73%	83%	77%	60%	76%
% NH Black est.	2%	5%	2%	6%	13%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	6,942	7,601	8,192	5,431	7,600	35,765
Age	age0-19	28%	27%	21%	29%	30%	27%
	age20-60	47%	45%	48%	51%	52%	48%
	age60plus	25%	28%	30%	20%	18%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	20%	22%	18%	20%	16%	19%
	naturalized	68%	71%	72%	63%	56%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	71%	71%	75%	67%	66%	70%
	spanish	11%	10%	13%	15%	20%	14%
	asian-lang	11%	12%	8%	12%	10%	10%
	other lang	7%	7%	4%	6%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	7%	8%	7%	7%	10%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	34%	40%	31%	44%	37%
	bachelor	26%	26%	24%	24%	20%	24%
	graduatedegree	37%	37%	30%	38%	21%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	29%	24%	26%	29%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	53%	54%	57%	54%	55%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	8%	8%	16%	11%	20%	13%
	income 25-50k	12%	12%	18%	21%	22%	17%
	income 50-75k	11%	10%	11%	16%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	45%	46%	42%	37%	37%	42%
	income 200k-plus	23%	24%	12%	15%	4%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	90%	94%	77%	60%	66%	77%
	multi-family	10%	6%	23%	40%	34%	23%
	rented	20%	15%	35%	57%	52%	36%
	owned	80%	85%	65%	43%	48%	64%
Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.							
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.							
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.							

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 121

Not Population
Balanced



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 121

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total	
Ideal	Total Pop	6,901	7,526	6,956	6,550	6,993	34,926	
6,985	Deviation from ideal	-84	541	-29	-435	8	976	
	% Deviation	-1.20%	7.75%	-0.42%	-6.23%	0.11%	13.97%	
Total Pop	% Hisp	16%	19%	14%	15%	35%	20%	
	% NH White	59%	62%	63%	68%	43%	59%	
	% NH Black	4%	4%	4%	5%	9%	5%	
	% Asian-American	21%	14%	18%	11%	11%	15%	
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	4,948	6,110	5,403	5,167	5,197	26,824	
	% Hisp	14%	17%	23%	17%	32%	21%	
	% NH White	59%	69%	58%	57%	50%	59%	
	% NH Black	3%	3%	3%	11%	6%	5%	
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	23%	10%	14%	13%	11%	14%	
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	4,973	5,707	2,756	4,696	4,179	22,311	
	% Latino est.	12%	18%	15%	12%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	13%	11%	29%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	5%	8%	5%	4%	7%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	69%	71%	72%	64%	55%	66%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	% NH Black	3%	2%	2%	14%	7%	6%	
	Total	3,876	4,580	1,962	3,458	3,057	16,934	
	% Latino est.	12%	18%	15%	12%	32%	18%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	17%	14%	10%	28%	16%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	11%	4%	7%	5%	4%	6%	
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	% NH White est.	70%	72%	73%	69%	56%	68%	
	% NH Black	3%	2%	1%	11%	7%	5%	
	Total	2,647	2,881	1,217	2,407	1,567	10,719	
	% Latino est.	10%	13%	12%	9%	22%	13%	
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	12%	11%	8%	19%	11%	
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	4%	6%	2%	5%	6%	
ACS Pop. Est.	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	
	% NH White est.	73%	79%	78%	83%	61%	76%	
	% NH Black est.	5%	3%	2%	4%	12%	5%	
	Total	6,763	8,005	6,713	6,635	7,650	35,765	
	Age	age0-19	25%	22%	36%	24%	28%	27%
		age20-60	43%	48%	49%	50%	53%	48%
age60plus		32%	31%	15%	26%	19%	25%	
Immigration	immigrants	24%	17%	17%	21%	17%	19%	
	naturalized	79%	69%	49%	72%	58%	67%	
Language spoken at home	english	71%	76%	68%	70%	65%	70%	
	spanish	9%	12%	13%	13%	21%	14%	
	asian-lang	12%	8%	13%	10%	9%	10%	
	other lang	8%	4%	7%	7%	5%	6%	
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	9%	7%	6%	6%	12%	8%	
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	44%	37%	27%	45%	37%	
	bachelor	27%	23%	24%	26%	19%	24%	
	graduatedegree	38%	28%	36%	43%	20%	32%	
Child in Household	child-under18	29%	24%	28%	25%	30%	27%	
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	56%	48%	55%	57%	55%	
Household Income	income 0-25k	5%	18%	12%	9%	20%	13%	
	income 25-50k	9%	17%	14%	20%	23%	17%	
	income 50-75k	12%	9%	9%	17%	17%	13%	
	income 75-200k	44%	45%	50%	37%	36%	42%	
	income 200k-plus	31%	11%	16%	17%	4%	15%	
Housing Stats	single family	99%	84%	89%	61%	65%	77%	
	multi-family	1%	16%	11%	39%	35%	23%	
	rented	9%	27%	23%	58%	51%	36%	
	owned	91%	73%	77%	42%	49%	64%	


Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Claremont 2019 Districting

Map 122



National Demographics Corporation, January 25, 2019

Claremont - Map 122

District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Ideal	Total Pop	7,185	6,340	7,282	7,126	6,993	34,926
6,985	Deviation from ideal	200	-645	297	141	8	942
	% Deviation	2.86%	-9.23%	4.25%	2.02%	0.11%	13.49%
Total Pop	% Hisp	15%	20%	16%	13%	35%	20%
	% NH White	59%	62%	64%	66%	43%	59%
	% NH Black	3%	5%	3%	4%	9%	5%
	% Asian-American	21%	11%	16%	15%	11%	15%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	5,268	4,973	5,976	5,411	5,197	26,824
	% Hisp	15%	21%	21%	15%	32%	21%
	% NH White	59%	60%	70%	54%	50%	59%
	% NH Black	2%	6%	2%	10%	6%	5%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	23%	11%	7%	19%	11%	14%
Voter Registration (Nov 2016)	Total	5,126	4,749	5,128	3,129	4,179	22,311
	% Latino est.	12%	17%	15%	13%	32%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	14%	11%	29%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	13%	5%	5%	7%	4%	7%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	69%	69%	75%	58%	55%	66%
% NH Black	3%	5%	2%	16%	7%	6%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2016)	Total	3,989	3,788	4,104	1,995	3,057	16,934
	% Latino est.	12%	17%	15%	12%	32%	18%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	16%	14%	11%	28%	16%
	% Asian-Surnamed	12%	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	71%	70%	76%	61%	56%	68%
% NH Black	3%	5%	2%	13%	7%	5%	
Voter Turnout (Nov 2014)	Total	2,750	2,459	2,946	998	1,567	10,719
	% Latino est.	10%	14%	10%	9%	22%	13%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	12%	9%	8%	19%	11%
	% Asian-Surnamed	10%	4%	4%	3%	5%	6%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	73%	78%	84%	77%	61%	76%
% NH Black est.	4%	4%	1%	7%	12%	5%	
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	7,043	6,604	7,815	6,654	7,650	35,765
Age	age0-19	25%	21%	23%	38%	28%	27%
	age20-60	43%	48%	48%	50%	53%	48%
	age60plus	32%	31%	29%	12%	19%	25%
Immigration	immigrants	24%	18%	19%	18%	17%	19%
	naturalized	80%	75%	68%	48%	58%	67%
Language spoken at home	english	71%	75%	74%	65%	65%	70%
	spanish	9%	13%	11%	14%	21%	14%
	asian-lang	12%	8%	9%	13%	9%	10%
	other lang	8%	4%	6%	7%	5%	6%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	9%	7%	7%	5%	12%	8%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	42%	36%	28%	45%	37%
	bachelor	27%	24%	25%	25%	19%	24%
	graduatedegree	38%	28%	37%	42%	20%	32%
Child in Household	child-under18	29%	23%	28%	25%	30%	27%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	56%	57%	56%	47%	57%	55%
Household Income	income 0-25k	4%	16%	13%	10%	20%	13%
	income 25-50k	9%	19%	17%	19%	23%	17%
	income 50-75k	12%	12%	10%	17%	17%	13%
	income 75-200k	44%	41%	47%	37%	36%	42%
	income 200k-plus	31%	12%	14%	17%	4%	15%
Housing Stats	single family	99%	76%	80%	62%	65%	77%
	multi-family	1%	24%	20%	38%	35%	23%
	rented	9%	36%	32%	58%	51%	36%
	owned	91%	64%	68%	42%	49%	64%

Total population data from the 2010 Decennial Census.

Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.

Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.

Map	Comments on Map
101	Because residents in the far north and far south of town have felt that sometimes their interests have been sacrificed to the town center I have run the districts north and south. The north will be able to influence three council members ditto the south. This provides each of them some protection and encourages Council members to consider the whole town. District 1 is based on the Asian cluster in the NE district 2 on the Hispanics in the SW.
102	The north and south fringes have 3 districts so they won't be overlooked. All of the districts run north to south to minimize socioeconomic segregation. The identified minorities are accommodated. District 1 keeps an Asian cluster district 2 keeps a Hispanic cluster. Districts 5 is oversize because it contains many college students who don t vote here. District 1 is slightly oversize for the same reason but less so because it also has difficult topography in which to campaign. District 3 is the smallest in population because of its difficult topography north of Baseline.
104	I have assigned all population to 5 districts. All 5 districts comply to the instructions given of no more than a 699 difference between the largest and smallest district.
105	This plan is intended to match key Claremont features, e.g. the Village, with voting blocks that have been traditionally underrepresented.
106	Five District map distributing the college population and commercial areas to as many districts as possible. Most districts touch the Claremont Village or Old Claremont.
107	College and commercial areas distributed to multiple districts. Claremont Village and Old Claremont in multiple districts. District 2 is more heavily Hispanic than Map 1 to limit division of that protected group.
110	<p>I think this proposed map makes sense because the districts should be aligned with neighborhoods with common concerns and historical partnerships. I also tried to avoid breaking up the Hispanic and Asian concentrations in the city. As recommended by the consultant, I tried to put portions of the college population in three different districts in order to distribute the very low-voting population over as many districts as possible. My particular priority is District #5, where I live.</p> <p>I think that if we move to districts, the important objective is to make sure that in early discussions with the staff and eventually within the Council, there are people who understand and experience the various perspectives that Claremont citizens have. In the end, any Council action depends on convincing a majority of the Council of the overall value of a proposed solution, so no one area will control the outcome. It will help the credibility of the Council if voters believe that views from all over the city were considered, and there were no last-minute surprises on commission and Council agendas.</p>
111	I think this map makes sense because it balances entire population and not just voters.
112	I wanted to create districts with some degree of neighborhood continuity, taking into account elements like elementary school boundaries, while also providing some diversity in type of housing (single family, multi-family, town-home, ect.) and business as well as residential. I live in a south Claremont multi-family apartment complex and would like a district with a council representative that finds it a necessity to campaign and represent the needs of south Claremont and thus would

	<p>not like to see our neighborhood divided.</p>
<p>113</p>	<p>I think this map makes sense because . . . It carves up the town by neighborhoods, rather than by color, income, etc. It's more about giving equal voice to the area near where the voter lives and goes.</p>
<p>114</p>	<p>My goal was to have each district embody as many major community interests as possible—hillsides, the Colleges and Village, commercial, south Claremont, historic preservation.</p> <p>Districts 1 through 3 result in three councilmembers representing hillsides to the north (a major concern for residents) with the southern border of these districts at Foothill Boulevard, the city's major arterial road and commercial district. District 3 extends far enough south to include a portion of the Colleges and the historic Arbol Verde and Russian Village neighborhoods. (The Colleges, Arbol Verde and Russian Village are all shared between districts 2, 3 and 5.</p> <p>The crown jewel of Claremont, The Village, has always been the "center" of town. I have split the Village at Indian Hill Boulevard through districts 4 and 5 on purpose. The Village typically has the highest voter turnout and its residents are more affluent, college educated and generally more "involved" in politics and city business. By extending Districts 4 and 5 to the far south border, my goal was to incorporate South Claremont (typically lower-income and less represented) into the Village. The natural dividing line for the South Claremont is Arrow Highway, however, the Metrolink and future Gold Line creates a barrier and feeling of disconnect by those who live "south of the tracks."</p> <p>A rising tide lifts all boats—lets combine our southern most neighborhoods with our most vibrant neighborhoods. District 4 includes Pilgrim Place. As editor of the local newspaper, I have personally seen our Pilgrims turn an election. They are extremely politically active and don't miss an election—ever. Returns will show the highest voter turnout at 8th Street and Berkeley south to Harrison Avenue and west to Mountain Avenue The senior zone will be in District 4, but will also include south Claremont (disenfranchised voters) and Auto Center Drive (the city's biggest tax revenue generator).</p>
<p>117</p>	<p>The rationale used for drawing the district boundaries, as depicted, rests upon the desire to retain Claremont's contemporary history of cohesion and inclusion in its governance and policy making. By drawing boundaries that longitudinally transverse the city, it is hoped that the desired effect will be to give all major communities of interest equal consideration by the entire City Council.</p> <p>The map gives special consideration to maintaining the integrity of the following Communities of Interest:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Landmarks - Claremont Wilderness Park, Transportation Zones (Metrolink, Interstate Freeways), commercial Village core, 2. Common Issues - development/maintenance of Wilderness Park, development of Village core, development along Foothill Blvd. and I-10 Freeway, continuing policy efforts aimed at creating economic development and housing parity between north and south sectors of the community. 3. Common Ancestry and Language - Hispanic/Latino populations in south and

	Asian population in North.
--	----------------------------

Additional Comments Related to Draft district Boundaries:

Thank you for sending me the case citations that I had requested — I’ll look them over in the coming week. In the meantime, I wanted to respond to the invitation that was offered at the Jan. 17 public hearing to send you input by email (even if the input is not to propose a map). My main input is the first item below — the others are meant as comments on the first.

In my view, the district boundaries should be “politically neutral,” by which I mean that the factors that contribute to their shape should exclude knowledge of where this-or-that incumbent happens to reside — in other words, no incumbency pairing. Another way of making the point is to say that their shape should be defensible on independent grounds.

I take it that the court cases you shared with me establish that there is a legal permission to take incumbency into account when drawing the districts. My first point, then, is simply that, in my view, Claremont should decline to exercise that permission.

I understand that this may result in districts that include two or more incumbents, which may contribute to the perception that they are being targeted. By the same token, if each district includes exactly one incumbent, it may contribute to the perception that they are being protected. For me, these two observations underscore the importance of making sure that the districts’ shapes are defensible on independent grounds.

WHERE ARE THE FIVE DISTRICTS TO BE? It's easy and non-political, IF we want it to be: Let the dependability of the terms of Geography and the Compass help us.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT (at long last having a full seat on the City Council). Long overdue. This needs no explaining and I support a Southern (not "South") District as long as we keep the council districts the same size in population as closely as possible.

CENTRAL DISTRICT: The "Village" belongs to all Claremonters like the library and City Hall, so it cannot and must not be named a "Village District", but it should envelope the Village as much as possible as it has a natural glue and sense of community and should not be broken up. From the Colleges to the East to all the amazing Craftsman bungalows and residences all the way up to Foothill Boulevard (I prefer to call it Route 66) and as west as possible (Mountain or Towne as the numbers permit). Surely, Pilgrim's Place, Mt San Antonio Gardens and Claremont Manor are also vital to any CENTRAL DISTRICT.

WESTERN DISTRICT: This is obvious and the homogeneous nature of these residences clear to the La Verne border including the wayward, but lovely Mesa neighborhood all makes for natural likeminded district, which like the others, have their own unique issues of importance to them.

NORTHERN DISTRICT: Clearly Indian Hill is the North/South spine of our fair City, so with this in mind and as the numbers permit, a Northern District that butterflies on both sides of Indian Hill above Route 66 would also create a homogeneous district with its own unique issues that should rightly have a voice at the Council.

EASTERN DISTRICT: This, as the numbers will assist, creates the big Eastern district north of Route 66 and all the way up Mount Baldy to Stone Canyon on its way to Potato Canyon. This also takes in more likeminded neighborhoods and would include our beloved Via Padova. So with zero agenda and in my hopes of keeping good neighborhoods together and in avoiding potential strife on the City Council and fostering harmony and understanding across the City's geography and demography, I offer the above thoughts for your consideration.

I live in northeast Claremont, on Guadalajara Place, just north of Foothill Boulevard and east Mills Avenue, adjacent to the Colleges.

I do not have a map to submit, but these concerns and insights for the drawing of the map:

1. Because Claremont is triangle-shaped, on its east side longitudinally there is a significantly greater residential portion north of the Colleges than to the south of the Colleges. There is less sense of a vital residential area immediately adjacent to, and north and east of, the Colleges. When considering northeast Claremont, there is more awareness of the newer residential development closer to, and north of, Baseline.

2. Reference has been made to creating longitudinal districts. My neighborhood is more like the neighborhoods to the south, and to old Claremont, than to the neighborhoods further northeast: my neighborhood was developed in 1951, it is in the Sycamore School District, walking distance to the Colleges and downtown. I think it makes best sense for our neighborhood to be included in a district that is to the east, south, central Claremont.

3. Discussions I've heard suggest dividing the Claremont Colleges into several districts. The Colleges already have exceptional influence. If they are represented by several council members, will they be given unfair advantage? Will the voters at the Colleges be represented, or (particularly because of their extremely low voter turnout) will the Colleges as an institution come to be represented, rather than the students?

4. Is it possible that Ranked Choice Voting could preclude the need for district elections and protect from lawsuits?

5. I oppose district elections. If I believed that they would promote fairness and quality governance, I would be for districting. I see no benefit to be derived from districting.

6. Know that suspicions and rumors abound about this process. I emphatically urge you to find experts beyond the City who have nothing to gain from their opinion, in order to advance the Council and staff's understanding of the risks and imperatives of our situation. People see the experts who are paid to tell us we need this are also involved in the solution, and assume conflict of interest.

Many in the community are viewing a new City Manager and new majority of members of the Council, whose depth of knowledge are being questioned. The City should go above and beyond to assure that we are receiving the best possible advice. Then disseminate vigorously that information in every venue possible.

The first casualty of adopting district elections is likely the increased suspicion and disrespect of our Council that will be engendered by the districting itself. Minimize that by finding the best possible unbiased opinions, pursuing all possible creative alternatives, such as Ranked Choice Voting, and spread the information clearly and widely.

7. If districting does occur, address how best to compensate for it (e.g., enriching city-wide involvement of constituents in all districts, enhancing training and role of commissions, etc., so that we develop more, and more skillful potential candidates).

8. Small question: What if there are no candidates from a district (Even without districting, I recall the recent election in which only the incumbents ran).

District elections in Claremont are a solution without a problem. Please seek further advice and creative solutions to the real problem: district elections.

Thank you for this opportunity to voice my concerns and ideas.

Thank you and best wishes for your efforts on behalf of all of us in dear Claremont.